

ReviewForm 1.7

JournalName:	AsianJournalofPediatricResearch
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AJPR_103488
Titleof theManuscript:	Ambulatorymanagementofurinarytractinfectionsinchildren:experienceofthepediatricmedicalemergencydepartmentin Rabat.
Typeof the Article	OriginalResearchArticle

Generalguidelinefor PeerReviewprocess:

Thisjournal'speerreviewpolicystatesthat **NO** manuscriptshouldberejectedonlyonthebasisof '**lackofNovelty**', providedthemanuscriptis scientificallyrobustandtechnicallysound. ToknowthecompleteguidelineforPeerReviewprocess,reviewersarerequestedtovisitthis link:

(<https://www.journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy>)

PART1:ReviewComments

	Reviewer'scomment	Author'scomment (ifagreedwithreviewer, correct themanuscriptandhighlightthatpartinthe manuscript. Itis mandatorythatauthorsshouldwrite his/herfeedbackhere)
<p>CompulsoryREVISIONcomments</p> <p>1. Isthemanuscript important forscientific community? (Please writefewsentenceson this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Isthetitleofthearticlesuitable? (Ifnotpleasesuggest analternative title)</p> <p>3. Isthe abstract ofthearticlecomprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsectionsandstructureof themanuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Doyou thinkthemanuscriptisscientificalllycorrect?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficientandrecent?If you have suggestionofadditionalreferences,please mentioninthe reviewform.</p> <p><u>(Apartfromabove mentioned6 points, reviewersare freetoprovide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes,themanuscriptenoughimportantforscientificcommunity.Thisarticleexplainsabout the diagnosis of UTIs is based on the detection ofgerms in the urineat medical emergency department in Rabat.</p> <p>Re-checkingagain, alternativetitle isclinicalepidemiologicalcharacteristics and bacteriological profile ofUTIsat medicalemergencydepartment in Rabat,India</p> <p>Yes,appropriateenough,but writingsentencesintheabstractshouldberevisedagainsothat itis nottoolongandeasiertounderstand</p> <p>Yes,appropriateenough,butre-checkingis requiredtobe better</p> <p>Yes,appropriateenough,butneedimprovementtobedetail</p> <p>Adduptodaterferences</p> <p>Introductionanddiscussionneedmoredeeplyexplanationtobebetter. Themethodm ustbe detailedagain</p>	
<p>MinorREVISIONcomments</p> <p>1. Islanguage/Englishqualityofthe articlesuitableforscholarly communications?</p>	<p>re-checkingistobebetter</p>	
<p>Optional/Generalcomments</p>	<p>Spacesandsymbolarerecheckedand1 paragraphminimum3sentences</p>	

[ReviewForm 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rahayu Fitriani Wangsa Putrie
Department, University & Country	Research Center for Applied Microbiology, Indonesia