

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Neurology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORRIN_103147
Title of the Manuscript:	CLINICO-RADIOLOGICAL STUDY OF POST TRAUMATIC PNEUMOCEPHALUS IN HEAD INJURY PATIENTS: EXPERIENCE AT A TERTIARY CENTRE WITH REVIEW OF LITERATURE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajorin.com/index.php/AJORRIN/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript describes outcomes of patients with pneumocephalus at a tertiary center, and appears to be relevant to the scientific community.</p> <p>2. Yes.</p> <p>3. Yes.</p> <p>4. Yes.</p> <p>5. Yes.</p> <p>6. Yes.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Please be consistent with the tense of the verbs e.g., "Majority patients are managed conservatively..." should be "Majority patients were managed conservatively..."</p> <p>2. To correct a few other grammatical errors e.g., "...features of mass effect was noted" should be "...features of mass effect were noted", "All patient..." should be "All patients...", etc.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Abstract: Please explain abbreviations that are used for the first time e.g., RTA, TP, CSF.</p> <p>2. Figure 1: Please explain the abbreviation FFH.</p> <p>3. Case 3: Please explain the abbreviation NCCT.</p> <p>4. Page 12: Which organisms caused the meningitis? What are the risk factors for meningitis that the authors are alluding to?</p> <p>5. The authors should include a table describing the demographics, risk factors, co-morbidities and mortality rate of the patients.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	D. Nuckchady
Department, University & Country	Victoria Hospital, Mauritius