

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_103131
Title of the Manuscript:	Technical efficiency of family farms in the Dogon Plateau in Mali.
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is important for the scientific community. In the introduction, it must be noted in related literature the importance of technical efficiency to strengthen farmers income, production productivity, food security, etc.</p> <p>The title of the article only describes technical efficiency. It would be interesting if the title was Analysis of Technical Efficiency and its Determinants of Cereal Production on a Family Farm in the Dogon Plateau in Mali.</p> <p>The abstract is quite comprehensive. The method of data collection might be describing, by interviewed or by using the field survey data.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript was appropriate.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>There are several suggestions of additional references that related to our insight in introduction section at paragraph 6: Gaviglio, A., Filippini, R., Madau, F.A. et al. Technical efficiency and productivity of farms: a periurban case study analysis. Agric Econ 9, 11 (2021). <a href="https://doi.org/10.1186/s40100-021-00181-9">https://doi.org/10.1186/s40100-021-00181-9</a></p> <p>Tan, S.; Heerink, N.; Qu, F. Impact of Land Fragmentation on Small Rice Farmers' Technical Efficiency in Southeast China. Sci. Agric. Sin. 2006, 12, 2467–2473.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English quality of the article is suitable.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Abbreviation FAE in the introduction section must be describes.</p> <p>Data wrap analysis written in the material and methods section can be changed by data envelopment analysis (DEA).</p> <p>Consistency of content in Table 4 Distribution of technical efficiency scores description: [20-40%[ or [20-40%], etc</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>I Made Tamba</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Mahasaraswati Denpasar, Indonesia</b>