

## Estimates of Genetic Variability, Correlation and Path analysis in Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern & Coss]

### Abstract

Present investigation was undertaken to study the genetic variability, correlation and path analysis of twelve quantitative traits in Twenty Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) lines. The experiment material was evaluated in a randomized block design with three replications. The analysis of variance showed significant difference among genotype for all the characters. Results from the study indicated that heritability was high for all traits studied. Genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) and phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) were low to medium for all the traits studied and genetic advance as percent of mean showed low to high values for most of the traits studied. Path coefficient at phenotypic and genotypic level revealed direct positive effect on seed yield per plant for about 50% of the traits studied. The significance for these results and their basis for selection of improved Indian mustard lines for further breeding in the program were discussed

~~High estimate of heritability coupled genetic advance as percentage of mean was observed for seed yield per plant, 1000 seed weight, biological yield, siliqua per plant. High genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation are studied for seed yield per plant, biological yield per plant, 1000 seed weight, harvest index. Seed yield per plant showed significant positive genotypic correlation with biological yield per plant, number of primary branches and seeds per siliquae. Path coefficient at phenotypic and genotypic level revealed that have direct positive effect on seed yield per plant for that biological yield, primary branches, seeds per siliqua, days to 50 % flowering, siliqua per plant, harvest index, days to maturity.~~

Comment [M1]:

**Key words:** - Genetic advance, Genetic variability, heritability, correlation, ~~and~~ path analysis coefficient.

### Introduction

Oilseeds occupy prime importance in Indian economy, which is evident from the impact created by yellow revolution. Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern & Coss] is an

important oil seed crop of the world. It is popularly known as rai, raya or laha in India. It is an important *rabi*-season/after monsoon season ends (October-March??) oilseed crop in India and occupies a premier position due to its high oil content. It plays a major role in catering to edible oil demand of the country.

The genus *Brassica*, belongs to Cruciferae or Brassicaceae family and includes six cultivated species. Among those, *Brassica nigra* (n=8), *B. oleraceae* (n=9), *B. rapa* (n=10) are diploids. Rest of the three, namely *B. carinata* (n=17), *B. napus* (n=19) and *B. juncea* (n=18) are amphidiploids (Nagaheru U, 1935). Indian mustard is a natural amphidiploid (2n=36) of *Brassica campestris* (2n=20) and *Brassica nigra* (2n=16). It originated in Asia with its major center of diversity in China (Vaughan, 1977). It was introduced in India from China and from where it spread to Afghanistan and other countries. It is largely self-pollinated crop (85-90%). However, owing to insects, especially the honeybees, the extent of cross-pollination varies from 4.0 to 16.6% (Rambhajan *et al.*, 1991). Mustard is a Rabi season crop of temperate region, which requires relatively cool temperature. Mustard seed is largely crushed for edible oil, which is perhaps the cheapest source of oil in our daily diet. Oilseeds occupy a place of prime importance in Indian economy which is evident from the impact created by yellow revolution. India is the third producer of mustard seed contributing around 11% of world's total production. Mustard seeds contain about 38-42% oil, which is golden yellow, fragrant and considered among the healthiest and most nutritional cooking medium. It is also utilized as a condiment, for medicinal uses and has industrial applications. Mustard meal or cake is also nutritious and contains about 12% oil and 38 to 42% protein (Nagraj, 1995). Indian oilseed types contain primarily 3-butenyl glucosinolate in their seeds and vegetative tissue, while *B. juncea* from China contains only 2-propenyl (allyl) glucosinolate, and only trace amounts of 3-butenyl glucosinolate.

~~Rapeseed mustard is the third important oilseed crop in the world after soybean, and oil palm. The major rapeseed mustard producing countries are Canada, China, Germany, and France. Oilseeds occupy a place of prime importance in Indian economy which is evident from the impact created by yellow revolution. India is the third producer of mustard seed contributing around 11% of world's total production.~~ India with an area of 6.78 million hectares, 9.12 million tonnes production and 1345 kg/ha productivity ranks second in area and third in production in

Formatted: Highlight

rapeseed-mustard scenario of the world. (Anonymous, 2019-20). Rajasthan is the largest producer of rapeseed-mustard followed by Uttar Pradesh, Haryana, Madhya Pradesh, West Bengal, Gujarat and Assam. Rajasthan state ranks first both in area and production. In Uttar Pradesh, the estimated area, production and productivity of rapeseed- mustard had 12.25 lakh ha, 17.10 lakh tonnes and 11.85 q/ha, respectively. (Anonymous, 2019-20). Rapeseed- mustard is cultivated in Agra, Mathura, Aligarh, Kanpur, Auraiya, Unnao and Hatras. Mathura is the rapeseed-mustard-producing district in terms of area, production, and productivity. The crop improvement in rapeseed-mustard is complex in nature due to a complex nature of inheritance of yield and its attributes.

The availability of genetic variation is advantageous for crop improvements. Such types of variability brought about by a group of genes which have a small individual effect, can be studied through quantitative measurement. The genetic facts are inferred from observation on phenotypes. Because phenotype is determined by the interaction of genotype and environment, non-genetic factors have a significant impact on genetic variation. As a result, multiple genetic indices such as heritability, genetic progress, and others must be used to assess exploitable variability. A study like this appears to be critical for planning genetic improvements in Indian mustard.

### Materials and Methods

The present field experiment was undertaken during Rabi 2021-22 (indicate the period of establishing the trial) at field experimentation center of Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj (UP). ~~The in~~ Randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replications was used. ~~Twenty Indian mustard genotypes were used and The plant material~~ was sown at row-to-row distance of 45 cm and plant to plant distance of 10 cm. ~~and R~~recommended packages of practices were followed during the trial period. ~~with twenty genotypes of Indian mustard during Rabi 2021-22~~. Observations were recorded on five randomly selected plants in each genotype and replication for different thirteen traits namely ..... These traits were computed on basis of mean data after computing for each character was subjected to standard method of analysis of variance following Singh and Choudhary (1985). Phenotypic and genotypic coefficient of variation, heritability (Broad Sense) and genetic advance as percent of mean were

**Comment [M2]:** Author must not combine rapeseed with the Indian mustard. Though they belong to the same family they may differ in terms of species.

**Formatted:** Highlight

**Comment [M3]:** Please expand your introduction to include the medicinal and industrial importance of the Indian Mustard and stress the need for developing new improved varieties with high economic, industrial and medicinal values to justify your work. Indicate clearly your study objectives. Also include in your introduction expanded literature on Heritability, GCV, PCV, GAM, Path ways and their implications with references which will help you in the discussions.

**Comment [M4]:** A brief description of the location will be helpful. You can add the rainfall data during the trial period if available. Description of the location will help since different environments could produce different results.

**Comment [M5]:** A brief description of the genotypes used may be helpful

**Comment [M6]:** Please mention the traits measured and briefly describe how they were measured. This is very important for repeatability of your study

**Formatted:** Highlight

estimated by the formula suggested by Burton (1952) and Johanson *et al.* (1955). The genotypic correlation coefficients were estimated according to the formula given by Singh and Choudhary (1985). While path analysis was carried out using the genotypic correlation coefficient to know direct and indirect effects of the components on yield as suggested by Wright (1921) and illustrated by Dewey and Lu (1957).

## Results and Discussion

The analysis of variance revealed significant differences among the twelve genotypes for all the twelve quantitative traits presented (Table-1). The perusal of data revealed that phenotypic variance was higher than the corresponding genotypic variance for all the traits studied. This indicated the influences of environmental factor on these traits. Data presented in (Table-2) showed maximum GCV and PCV was recorded for seed yield per plant, biological yield per plant, 1000 seed weight and harvest index. These results were well supported by similar findings by Singh *et al.* (2011), Shekhawat *et al.* (2012), Singh *et al.* (2018), Kumar *et al.* (2019) reported high values for PCV and GCV for the biological yield per plant and seed yield per plant. High heritability (broad sense) was observed for High estimate of heritability coupled with genetic advance as percentage of mean was observed for seed yield per plant, 1000 seed weight, biological yield, siliqua per plant. High genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation are studied for seed yield per plant, biological yield per plant, 1000 seed weight, harvest index. High heritability together with high genetic advance was an indicative of additive gene effects, and high heritability associated with low genetic advance was indication of dominance and epistatic effects. These results are in conformity with those obtained by Acharya and Pati (2008), Singh and Singh (2010), Singh *et al.* (2011) and Yadava *et al.* (2011).

In the present study, the genotypic correlation coefficients were higher in magnitude than their respective phenotypic correlation coefficients for most of the traits indicating the depression of phenotypic expression by the environmental influence. Seed yield/ plant was found to be positively and significantly correlated with biological yield per plant, number of primary branches and seeds per siliqua. (Tables 3\_a\_&\_b). These finding was also observed by Prasad and Patil (2018), Lakra *et al.* (2020), Nandi *et al.*, (2021). However seed yield was negatively and significantly correlated with plant height and oil content.

The estimates of correlation coefficient, although, indicate inter- relationship of different

**Comment [M7]:** GCV, PCV, GAM, Heritability according to as mentioned but show the formulas used so your study can be repeated and explain the percentages eg. heritability percentage <30% = Low, 10-30% = Medium and >30%= High and the extent of genetic advance estimated according to....etc Also look for recently used references.

**Formatted:** Highlight

**Comment [M8]:** Please kindly rewrite the results and discussions following the below paper: Dev Nidhi Tiwari et al. 2019. Genetic Variability and Correlation Coefficients of Major Traits in Early Maturing Rice under Rainfed Lowland Environments of Nepal. Hindawi Advances in Agriculture Volume 2019, Article ID 5975901, 9 pages <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/5975901>

**Comment [M9]:** 13 or 12 traits pls check from your methodology

**Comment [M10]:** Are you sure?? Note that differences observed between pcv and gcv were small indicating environmental influence on traits was low. Check Tiwari et al 2019. As suggested please rewrite the results and discussions following Tiwari et al 2019.

traits, but it does not furnish information on cause and effect. Under such situation path analysis helps the breeder to identify the index of selection. Biological yield, primary branches, seeds per siliqua, days to 50 % flowering, siliqua per plant, harvest index and days to maturity showed the highest positive direct effect on seed yield per plant (Table 4a&b). Therefore, considering these traits as selection criteria will be advantageous in bringing improvement in Indian mustard. These results are in conformity with the findings of Pandey and Singh (2005), Verma *et al.* (2008) and kumar *et al.* (2019). Thus, the material studied is of diverse nature and information emanated would help in designing the selection methodology which can further be used in the breeding programme for improvement of seed yield.

Conclusions and Recommendations???

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

UNDER PEER REVIEW

**Table.1: Analysis of variance for 12 characters in Indian mustard.**

S. No	Source	Mean sum of square		
		Replication	Treatment	Error
	<b>Degree of freedom</b>	2	19	38
1.	Days to 50% flowering	0.117	39.75**	0.62
2.	Days to maturity	1.03	94.80**	0.48
3.	Plant height (cm)	311.55	553.47**	37.53
4.	Number of primary branches	0.24	1.65**	0.34
5.	Number of secondary branches	6.49	15.97**	3.02
6.	Number of siliquae per plant	785.23	4567.74**	747.7
7.	Number of seeds per siliqua	4.68	5.64**	2.04
8.	Test weight (1000 seeds weight) (g)	33.77	251.98**	22.62
9.	Biological yield (g)	1.63	23.36**	1.4
10.	Harvest index (%)	0.14	1.48**	0.056
11.	Oil content (%)	0.38	6.23**	0.2
12.	Seed yield per plant (g)	3.39	15.71**	0.67

**Table.2. Genetic variability parameters for seed yield and its contributing characters in Indian mustard**

Sr. No.	Characters	GCV (%)	PCV (%)	H(bs) (%)	Genetic advance	Genetic Advance as percentage of mean
1.	Days to 50 % flowering	7.18	7.34	95.24	7.26	14.43
2.	Days to maturity	4.21	4.26	98.49	11.46	8.62
3.	Plant height	5.85	6.46	82.08	24.47	10.98
4.	No. of primary branches per plant	8.26	10.96	56.17	1.02	12.68
5.	No. of secondary branches per plant	9.34	12.18	58.77	3.28	14.75
6.	Silique per plant	9.18	11.56	62.99	58.34	15.09
7.	Seeds per siliqua	7.18	11.81??	36.97	1.37	8.99
8.	Biological yield	17.02	19.35	77.16	15.82	30.76
9.	Harvest index	11.84	12.93	83.85	5.10	22.33
10.	Test weight	15.91	16.27	89.47	1.34	29.90
11.	Oil content	3.48	3.46	90.90	2.78	6.18
12.	Seed yield per plant	18.54	19.73	88.21	4.33	35.87

**Comment [M11]:** Please check table characters you indicated 13 traits in methodology but they are 12 here.  
Also Table 2 Genetic variability.....If possible add columns for additive variance, Dominance Variance, Environmental variance, phenotypic and genotypic variance so the calculations for heritability, GCV, PCV, GA and GAM could be verified. Foot notes explaining GCV, PCV, Hbs may be helpful. Check Tiwari et al 2019

**Table.3a. Genotypic correlation between different yield and yield related traits of Indian mustard**

Characters	Days to 50% flowering	Days to maturity	Plant height	No. primary branches per plant	No. of secondary branches/plant	Siliqua per plant	Seeds per siliqua	BY per plant	Harvest Index	Test weight	Oil content
Days to maturity	0.683**	<b>1.000</b>									
Plant height	0.253	0.097	<b>1.000</b>								
No. of primary branches per plant	0.107	-0.052	0.295*	<b>1.000</b>							
No. of secondary branches per plant	-0.318*	0.038	-0.278*	-0.240	<b>1.000</b>						
Siliqua per plant	0.149	0.096	0.082	0.744**	-0.335**	<b>1.000</b>					
Seeds per siliqua	-0.059	0.078	0.227	0.065	0.454**	0.399*	<b>1.000</b>				
Biological yield	0.316*	0.276*	-0.494**	0.182	-0.372**	0.236	-0.235	<b>1.000</b>			
Harvest index	-0.146	-0.059	-0.507**	0.131	0.324*	0.138	0.163	0.091	<b>1.000</b>		
test weight	0.006	-0.088	0.112	0.125	0.465**	-0.193	-0.161	-0.170	0.270*	<b>1.000</b>	
Oil content	-0.082	0.233	0.100	0.180	0.011	0.287*	0.085	-0.148	-0.024	-241	<b>1.000</b>
Seed yield per plant	0.233	0.004	-0.413**	0.258*	-0.058	0.193	0.257*	0.492**	0.099	-0.118	-0.433**

**Comment [M12]:** Please check table characters you indicated 13 traits in methodology but they are 11 here. days to flowering is missing in the column and seed yield per plant is also missing in the row.

Table.3b. Phenotypic correlation between different yield and yield related traits of Indian mustard

Characters	Days to 50% flowering	Days to maturity	Plant height	Primary branches per plant	Secondary branches/ plant	Siliqua per plant	Seeds per siliqua	BY per plant	Harvest Index	Test weight	Oil content
Days to maturity	0.662**	<b>1.000</b>									
Plant height	0.246	0.099	<b>1.000</b>								
Primary branches per plant	0.077	-0.051	0.241*	<b>1.000</b>							
Secondary branches per plant	-0.277	0.036	-0.196*	-0.175	<b>1.000</b>						
Siliqua per plant	0.115	0.081	0.096	0.620**	-0.236**	<b>1.000</b>					
Seeds per siliqua	-0.009	0.069	0.205	0.022	0.306**	0.291**	<b>1.000</b>				
Biological yield	0.256*	0.264*	-0.432**	0.152	-0.290*	0.227	-157	<b>1.000</b>			
Harvest index	-0.140	-0.054	-0.484**	0.093	0.283*	0.108	0.136	0.054	<b>1.000</b>		
Test weight	0.002	-0.077	0.121	0.123	0.416**	-0.172	-0.115	-0.155	0.257*	<b>1.000</b>	
Oil content	-0.072	0.218	0.084	0.158	0.005	0.263*	0.037	-0.138	-0.018	-0.232	<b>1.000</b>
Seed yield per plant	0.210	0.002	-0.377**	0.236*	-0.056	0.187	0.232*	0.490**	0.088	-0.115	-0.415**

Comment [M13]: Please check table characters you indicated 13 traits in methodology but they are 11 here. days to flowering is missing in the column and seed yield per plant is also missing in the row.

Table.4a. Direct (diagonal) and indirect effects of yield components on seed yield per plant at phenotypic level in mustard genotypes.

Characters	Days to 50% flowering	Days to maturity	Plant height	No. primary branches per plant	No. of secondary branches/plant	Siliqua per plant	Seeds per siliqua	BY per plant	Harvest Index	Test weight	Oil content	Seed yield Per Plant
Days to 50 % flowering	<b>0.3605</b>	0.2438	0.0903	0.0338	-0.1008	0.0487	-0.0126	0.1058	-0.0509	-0.0012	-0.0307	0.210
Days to maturity	-0.0463	<b>-0.0685</b>	-0.0067	0.0037	-0.0025	-0.0061	-0.0049	-0.0186	0.0039	0.0058	-0.0156	0.002
Plant height	-0.2245	-0.0878	<b>-0.8968</b>	-0.2163	0.1758	-0.0869	-0.1846	0.3877	0.4341	-0.1085	-0.0760	-0.377**
Primary branches/plant	0.0540	-0.0309	0.1389	<b>0.5758</b>	-0.1012	0.3571	0.0128	0.0878	0.0537	0.0713	0.0915	0.236*
Secondary branches/plant	0.0437	-0.0057	0.0306	0.0275	<b>-0.1562</b>	0.0369	-0.0478	0.0453	-0.0443	-0.0650	-0.0008	-0.056
Siliqua per plant	-0.0243	-0.0161	-0.0174	-0.1116	0.0425	<b>-0.1799</b>	-0.0524	-0.0410	-0.0195	0.0310	-0.0473	0.187
Seeds per siliqua	-0.0206	0.0424	0.1216	0.0132	0.1810	0.1722	<b>0.5909</b>	-0.0932	0.0804	-0.0682	0.0224	0.232*
Biological yield	-0.0021	-0.0019	0.0031	-0.0011	0.0021	-0.0016	0.0011	<b>-0.0072</b>	-0.0004	0.0011	0.0010	0.490**
Harvest index	0.0541	0.0219	0.1855	-0.0358	-0.1087	-0.0415	-0.0521	-0.0210	<b>-0.3833</b>	-0.0987	0.0071	0.088
Test weight	-0.0001	-0.0028	0.0040	0.0041	0.0137	-0.0057	-0.0038	-0.0051	0.0085	<b>0.0328</b>	-0.0076	-0.115
Oil content	0.0307	-0.0819	-0.0305	-0.0572	-0.0018	-0.0946	-0.0136	0.0498	0.0067	0.0837	<b>-0.3598</b>	-0.415*

Comment [M14]: Check characters 12 in row and 11 in column. I think seed yield per plant is missing in column

Table.4b. Direct (diagonal) and indirect effects of yield components on seed yield per plant at genotypic level in mustard genotypes.

Comment [M15]: Check characters 12 in row and 11 in column. I think 1000 seed weight is missing column

Characters	Days to 50% flowering	Days to maturity	Plant height	No. primary branches per plant	No. of secondary branches/plant	Siliqua per plant	Seeds per siliqua	BY per plant	Harvest Index (%)	1000-seed weight	Oil content (%)	Seed yield Per Plant (g)
Days to 50 % flowering	<b>0.6200</b>	0.4237	0.1570	0.0662	-0.1974	0.0924	-0.0364	0.1959	-0.0879	-0.0039	-0.0507	0.233
Days to maturity	-0.4301	<b>-0.6293</b>	-0.0613	0.0330	-0.0238	-0.0606	-0.0491	-0.1735	0.0371	0.0554	-0.1466	0.004
Plant height	0.0774	0.0297	<b>0.3055</b>	0.0900	-0.0849	0.0251	0.0694	-0.1508	-0.1549	0.0343	0.0306	-0.413**
Primary branches/plant	0.0126	-0.0062	0.0347	<b>0.1176</b>	-0.0282	0.0875	0.0077	0.0214	0.0154	0.0147	0.0212	0.258*
Secondary branches/plant	-0.3713	0.0441	-0.3243	-0.2796	<b>0.1664</b>	-0.3909	0.5299	-0.4343	0.3782	0.5425	0.0130	-0.058
Siliqua per plant	0.0624	0.0403	0.0344	0.3116	-0.1403	<b>0.4186</b>	0.1672	0.0988	0.0580	-0.0806	0.1201	0.193
Seeds per siliqua	0.0217	-0.0288	-0.0839	-0.0240	-0.1678	-0.1475	<b>-0.3693</b>	0.0869	-0.0601	0.0596	-0.0316	0.257*
Biological yield	0.2036	0.1777	-0.3181	0.1174	-0.2400	0.1522	-0.1517	<b>0.6446</b>	0.0588	-0.1093	-0.0951	0.492**
Harvest index	-0.0068	-0.0028	-0.0245	0.0063	0.0156	0.0067	0.0079	0.0044	<b>0.0482</b>	0.0130	-0.0012	0.098
Test weight	0.0048	0.0668	-0.0853	-0.0946	-0.3527	0.1460	0.1224	0.1286	-0.2048	<b>-0.7583</b>	0.1827	-0.118
Oil content	0.0389	-0.1108	-0.0476	-0.0858	-0.0053	-0.1364	-0.0406	0.0702	0.0114	0.1146	<b>-0.4756</b>	-0.433**

## References

- Acharya, NN and Pati, P. 2008. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern & Coss]. *Env Ecol*, 26: 2165-2168.
- Anonymous 2020. Agricultural Statistics at a Glance, Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Ministry of Agriculture, Govt. of India.
- Burton G.W. 1952. Quantitative inheritance in grasses. *Proceeding of 6<sup>th</sup> international Grassland Congress.*, 1: 227-283.
- Dewey D.R. and Lu K.H. 1959. A correlation and path coefficient analysis of components of crested wheat grass seed production. *Agronomy Journal.*, 51: 515-518.
- Johnson H.W., Robinson H.F. and Comstock R.E. 1955. Genotypic and phenotypic correlation in soybean and their implication in selection. *Agron.*, 47: 477-483.
- Kapila Shekhawat, Rathore SS, Premi OP, Kandpal BK, Chauhan JS. 2012. Advances in Agronomic Management of Indian Mustard (*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern & Coss): An Overview *International Journal of Agronomy*, Article. ID 408284: 1-14.
- Kumar Nitesh., Sarkar Sohini., Bhattacharyya Prabir Kumar. 2019. Association studies for yield components in mustard (*Brassica juncea* and *Brassica rapa*) in Gangetic alluvium zone of West Bengal. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry.* 8(4):3057-3063.
- Lakra Anand., Tantuway Girish., Tirkey Aditi Eliza., Srivastava Kartikeya. 2020. Genetic variability and Trait association studies in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L. Czern & Coss). *Int. J. Curr. Microbio. App. Sci.* 9(01):2556-2563.
- Nagaharu, U., and Nagaharu, N. 1935. Genome analysis in Brassica with special reference to the experimental formation of *B. napus* and peculiar mode of fertilization. *Japan Journal of Botany*, 7(7): 389-452.
- Nagraj, G. 1995. Quality and utility of oilseeds. Directorate of oilseeds Research (ICAR), Hyderabad. *Published bulletin* on dated 30.3.93; pp.10.
- Nandi, S., Rout, S., Sur, B., Chakraborty, M., Hijam, L., Roy, S.K. and Gupta, A. 2021. Assessment of Genetic Parameters, Trait Association and Diversity Analysis in

Indian Mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern and Coss]. *Biological Forum – An International Journal*, 13(2): 95-109.

Pandey, S and Singh, Basudeo. 2005. Inter character association and path analysis in Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern. & Coss.]. *Adv Plant Sci*, 18: 511-514.

Prasad Ganesh., Patil B.R. 2018. Genetic variability and heritability studies for yield and attributes in Indian mustard. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 7(5):519-522.

Rambhajan, Chauhan, Y. S. and Kumar, K. 1991. Natural cross pollination in Indian mustard. *Cruciferae Newsletter*. 14/15: 24-25.

Singh R.K. and Choudhary B.D. 1985. Variance and covariance analysis. Biometrical Method in Quantitative Genetic Analysis. *Kalyani Publisher*, Ludhiana pp. 39- 68.

Singh Virendra Kumar., Sen Ramraj., Kumar Nirmal., Saini Rohit Kumar., Singh S.P. 2018. Genetic variability parameters studies in mustard (*Brassica juncea* L. Czern & Coss). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 8(4):2142-2144.

Singh VK, Singh D, Singh AK. 2011. Genetic divergence for important economic and quality traits in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L. Czern & Coss). *Pantnagar Journal of Research*, 9(2):183-188.

Singh, SK and Singh, AK. 2010. Inter-relationship and path analysis for seed yield in Indian mustard. *Indian J Ecol*, 37: 8-12.

Vaughan, J. G.1977. A multidisciplinary study of the taxonomy and origin of *Brassica* crops. *Biology Science*, 27(1): 35-40.

Verma, R, Sharma, R and Sharma, SK. 2008. Association studies among yield and its component characters in Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern & Coss]. *Indian J Genet Plant Breed*, 68: 87-89.

Yadava, DK, Giri, SC, Vignesh, M, Vasudev, Sujata, Yadav, KA, Dass, B, Singh, R, Singh, N, Mohapatra, T and Prabhu, KV. 2011. Genetic variability and trait association studies in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*). *Indian J Agril Sci*, 81: 712-716.