

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_102857
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Phenolic Compounds in <i>Nicotiana Tabacum</i> Based on Aqueous Two-Phase Extraction Coupled with Liquid Chromatography
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes in medical point of view it is very important</p> <p>Yes it is suited</p> <p>Format of the Abstract is too different, however, if the editor of the journal allows it, then so be it. One thing more, in recognition of the researcher(s)/author(s) his/her name should appear/put between the title of the article and abstract as SOP in publishing scientific researches.</p> <p>The structure of the manuscript in general is in block form which is unusual or not in common format of a research paper. Again, it is in the discretion of the publisher if they would allow this format. The usual format which is internationally accepted is; in every beginning of a sentence, it is always indented</p> <p>Yes the manuscript is scientifically correct and useful</p> <p>References are sufficient</p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>It is I layman's language, easily understand and interpret the result</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Manuscript format/structure in unusual</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Arturo O. Manipon</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Philippines</b>