

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_99645
Title of the Manuscript:	New insights into the platform-to-basin anatomy of the Urganian Bas-Vivarais domain (Lower Cretaceous; SE France)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalgeesi.com/index.php/JGEESI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. This is a good geological paper, well researched and well thought out and well executed.</p> <p>2. The author made use of existing adequate/detail literature and through that was able to identify the knowledge gaps which the current study tries to address.</p> <p>3. The use of Ammonite, Rudists and Dasycladale algae in reconstructing the basin is novel and it is a good pointer to the fact that detail work was done.</p> <p>4. Through the lithology and Biostratigraphy, the author was able to established lateral continuities and discontinuities, as well as sequence terminations across the basin.</p> <p>5. The Regional comparison with other known platform such as Provence and Subalpine Domains further helped the work by linking the local geology with worldwide view in time and space.</p> <p>6. The identification of the Sedimentary wedge during the brief exposure event of the inner platform domain that lasted through the <i>Nicklesia pulchella</i> ammonite zone which is regionally followed by the deposition of the Serre de Tourre Beds which illustrate major palaeoenvironmental disturbances associated with the Mid-Barremian Event is the major contribution to knowledge from this study/work.</p> <p>7. This is good and interesting Sedimentological work (Lithostratigraphy and Biostratigraphy). Quite detail and wonderful.</p> <p>8. However, the mother tongue of the author (French) actually influence the written English and that will have to be looked into. The few corrections made will be seen on the body of attached paper.</p> <p>9. I accept the paper without any reservation for publication in your reputable journal.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	O.C. Adeigbe
Department, University & Country	University of Ibadan, Nigeria