

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_102771
Title of the Manuscript:	Biomass energy applications and development in Uganda
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, present country's energy scenario and available resources for generation and future is important.</p> <p>1. Preferably like,----- Biomass Energy Prospects and Future in Uganda -A review</p> <p>1. Some Technical aspects be included as applicable to justify the situations and scope to uplift the situations to the better anticipation. Abstract be rewritten with strong relations of subject and contents used to publish</p> <p>1. No graphical data representation and images in the entire work, kindly consider</p> <p>1. Technical challenges and remedial were not discussed--- consider as applicable to make the article more concrete with subject titled. List of new and modern methods, revisions or techniques to adopt were not mentioned</p> <p>2. No clear statistics of population, education, employment and un employments ... Details shall be added to strengthen.</p> <p>3. Standard of life and economic indices etc be used to justify.</p> <p>4. Add existing policy and support of Govt... and its status as on , and updates or revisions as expected...</p> <p>1. References are ok</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Language and comprehension used is very good</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Suggested to use block diagrams, graphs and charts as applicable</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mallikarjun G Hudedmani
Department, University & Country	Visveshwaraia Technological University, India