

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_103288
Title of the Manuscript:	Silk Reeling Techniques: Exploring Traditional and Advanced Methods
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes. This review paper is interesting and important to those people who are working in Sericulture, such as silk producers and stake holders, because it focused on the development of silk reeling technologies, and compared traditional and advanced silk reeling techniques.</p> <p>2. Yes, it is suitable.</p> <p>3. Yes.</p> <p>4. Yes.</p> <p>5. The advantages and disadvantages of the technologies need more explanation.</p> <p>6. Some more recent publications should be cited.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The language use of this manuscript is very good.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This present manuscript is well written and can be accepted for publication after minor revision.</p> <p>Add these references</p> <p>Moriwaki S. Historical evolution of modified technology in silk-reeling industry during the early Meiji era: case of Gifu. Asia-Pacific Journal of Regional Science. 2019 Jun 14;3(2):395-420.</p> <p>Bernstein GL. Women in the Silk-reeling Industry in Nineteenth-century Japan. In Japan and the World: Essays on Japanese History and Politics in Honour of Ishida Takeshi 1988 (pp. 54-77). London: Palgrave Macmillan UK.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shiping Liu
Department, University & Country	Southwest University, China