

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_101501
Title of the Manuscript:	Model of a Neural Network for Solving Systems of Inequalities with Three Real Unknowns
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamcs.com/index.php/JAMCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. This manuscript is interesting for scientific community as it provides an alternative way of solving system of inequalities with three variables</p> <p>2. The title is suitable for this manuscript</p> <p>3. The abstract is not comprehensive. i. Please remove equations and citation in abstract ii. Start the abstract by mentioning what you going to propose in this article iii. Please mention what is the techniques going to use iv. Please mention your findings v. Please mention how you validate the performance of your algorithm</p> <p>4. Yes, the subsections and structure are appropriate</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Please recheck the format of the citation. Please include article journals</p> <p>7. Please check the labelling of the figures</p> <p>8. Please label the equations</p> <p>9. Please provide the algorithm in proper way</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Add recent references	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Teoh Yeong Kin
Department, University & Country	College of Computing, Informatics and Media, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia