

Original Research Article

Effect of shoot bending at different time on production and quality of Hybrid Tea rose cultivar Minu Pearle

Abstract

A field experiment was undertaken from September to March for two consecutive years (2020 and 2021) to find out the best time for shoot bending in Hybrid Tea rose. Growth and development of rose cultivar Minu Pearle, was significantly influenced by shoot bending at different time (4th week of September to 4th week of December). Among the treatments tallest plants & maximum leaf area was produced in plants bended on T₅ whereas, the plant canopy was registered to be maximum in 2nd week of December. The highest rate of shoot elongation at 15 & 30 days after shoot bending was noted in second week of November and October respectively. Application of shoot bending on 2nd week of October recorded early blooming and slowest flower bud emergence was registered in T₅ whereas, delayed sepal reflex and maximum days taken to attain cup shape was observed in T₆. In terms of flower quality parameters like length and diameter of flower bud and pedicel and weight of individual flower, T₅ proved to be superior. Shoot bending applied on 2nd week of November (T₄) produced long and thick stalks. Among the treatments maximum number of flowers, longest self and vase life, highest dry matter production from leaves and stem, greater chlorophyll and anthocyanin content was observed in T₅.

Key words – Rose, shoot bending, bending time, flower quality, quality improvement

Introduction

Bending non-productive shoots (short stem, small stem calliper, potential blinds) down into the canopy or towards the aisle became a standard method in cut-flower rose production (Ohkawa and Suematsu, 1999; Särkkä and Rita, 1999). Bending is generally done continually over the entire growing season. While in traditional production, a tall hedgerow canopy assures ample foliage area to capture light, with this shoot-bending, it is theoretically possible to maintain the lower canopy height without sacrificing foliage area. Low canopy height also facilitates the light interceptions by basal shoots, emerged from or near the primary shoot.

Comment [eb1]: What is your statement of problem? Please include it here/

Comment [eb2]: Based on the time range your treatments contain This should be December if not what have you done on march??

Comment [eb3]: The research methodology is missed at all.

Comment [eb4]: Be consistent in your writing use either the numbers or words consistently

Comment [eb5]: This is your finding from your experiment. Thus, you have to have numbers to express and give emphasis. In my opinion to increase the acceptability and increase the quality of your paper you have to use numbers instead of words/phrases here. Specifically, the maximum and minimum values for each parameters taken is necessary here.

Comment [eb6]: Inclusion of conclusion and recommendation based on the present finding and for future workers is missed here. Please conclude your work properly and put your recommendation as well.

Comment [eb7]: This words pr phrases which are found in the title of your manuscript should not be included here as a keyword. Please replace them by other words specifically by important words like parameters taken.

The basal shoots are usually vigorous and important source of flower production (Zieslin and Mor, 1981).

Comment [eb8]: Please use updated sources.

Ohkawa and Suematsu (1999) reported that bending resulted in higher shoot quality but less harvestable shoots per plant in commercial greenhouses. They suggested that the production count per area, however, could be compensated by increasing plant density. Similar results were reported by Särkkä and Rita (1999) who found that bending resulted in higher quality of flowers, fewer blind shoots (aborted flower buds) and higher yield in 'Mercedes'. The success of applying bending to rose production has been generally attributed to the possibility of bent shoots acting as a source of carbohydrates, presuming that they capture ample light and actively photosynthesize after bending.

Kool and Lenssen (1997) reported that in newly developing young rose plants, bending increased the development rate, stem diameter, weight, leaf area index (LAI) and cross-sectional area of basal shoots. Stem diameter and degree of branching of basal shoots determine the potential flower production of the plant (Marcelis-Van Acker, 1993). Mosherp and Turner (1999) compared the productivity of a canopy management system that they named "trellis", described as a system restraining the basal shoots at an angle of approximately 30°, with the traditional management system. The trellis system produced more flowering shoots and longer stems for cvs. 'Gabrielle' and 'Kardinal'. Mosher and Turner (1999) attributed the increased production and quality in the trellis system to increased light penetration to basal parts, and to bending of basal shoots per se that stimulated the formation of more basal shoots. Le Bris *et al.* (1998) reported that when a primary shoot was bent horizontally to promote the growth of proximal secondary buds, these buds gave rise to basal shoots.

Comment [eb9]: The above comment also works here. Too old references should be substituted by most recent one

With widespread adoption of this practice, it is necessary to find out effective time of shoot bending for better growth and quality of rose. As, we know that in roses economic return is directly related to stalk length and number of produced shoots. With limited study on rose grown in open field condition and its response to different bending times, the objective of the study was to standardize effective bending time to assess the plant performance with respect to growth parameters and flower quality in Hybrid Tea rose cultivar Minu Pearle.

Comment [eb10]: It will be a complete statement of problems if you cite properly and previous works in short review here.

Materials and methods

Site description

The investigation was performed at Horticulture Research Station, Mandouri, Faculty of Horticulture, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Nadia, West Bengal. The site of study is located at 23N° latitude and 89E° longitude at an elevation of 9.75 meters from Mean Sea Level. The texture of the soil of the proposed investigation site is sandy loam, well drained with pH level of 6.7, organic matter is 0.74%, total nitrogen 0.07%, Phosphorus 28.50 kg/hectare and K₂O 78 kg/hectare.

Climate condition: The area where the experiment is conducted, comes under subtropical climate. The highest temperature during the summer season (April to June) ranges between 24.2°C to 39.2°C while during winter season (December to January) it is recorded between 19.6°C to 29.6°C. The average relative humidity during the entire period of experiment was recorded between 55.5% to 100%. All meteorological observation was recorded during the period of experiment and displayed in Fig. 1.

Experimental Details: -

Tested material

The study was undertaken on Hybrid Tea rose cultivar **Minu Pearle**, belonging to the class Hybrid Tea, with RHS 53C. The investigation was worked out in three years old plants in open field condition, planted in September 2017. The budded plants were purchased from Pusphanjali Rose Nursery, Jakpur, West Bengal.

Comment [eb11]: If possible please detaily describe the planting material.

Treatment details

The experiment was performed with 7 treatments, (T₁- Bending on 4th week of September, T₂- Bending on 2nd week of October, T₃- Bending on 4th week of October, T₄-Bending on 2nd week of November, T₅- Bending on 4th week of November, T₆- Bending on 2nd week of December and T₇- Bending on 4th week of December) All the experimental shoots (having 4 mm flower bud) were bended above 3 nodes.

Experimental design and crop management

Experiment was planned in a Randomize Block Design with 7 treatments and 4 replications. The plants were planted in raised bed (1.5X1.0 m²) at a spacing of 50X30 cm 9 plants per bed. The crop was raised following **the standard cultural practices and recommended dose of fertilizer for rose was applied.**

Comment [eb12]: What are this standardized cultural practices for rose? You have to make it specific because readers who are not familiar with rose cultivation including me did not know it at all. Thus, mention them in detail.

Application of treatments

Healthy budded plants of Hybrid Tea rose cultivar 'Minu Pearle' planted in the year 2017 was taken as experimental material and moderately pruned in the first week of September 2020 and 2021 respectively, to remove diseased, dried and damaged shoots. Application of treatments started from last week of September and continued as per the treatments details. Bending angle was maintained below 90° & bending was done above 3 nodes from the base on primary shoots with 4mm flower bud

Comment [eb13]: Data collection should be here as a separate subtitle and the parameters taken below it.

Chlorophyll content estimation

To determine chlorophyll content, fresh leaves were collected from each plot during active vegetative stage. One gram freshly harvested leaves were taken and soaked overnight in 80% acetone in dark condition. Acetone soaked leaves were crushed, filtered, diluted and finally, chlorophyll content was determined by spectrophotometric observation (Sadasivan and Manickam, 1992).

$$\text{Total chlorophyll (mg/g of leaf)} = 20.0 (A_{645}) + 8.02 (A_{663}) \times (V / (W \times 100))$$

Where, A= absorbance at specific wavelength

V= Final volume of chlorophyll extract in 80% Acetone (ml)

W= Fresh weight of leaves (g)

The findings of each treatment were averaged.

Anthocyanin content estimation

Anthocyanin content was recorded from freshly harvested flower petals. Flower petals were macerated with ethanolic hydrochloric acid and kept overnight in dark at 4°C. Next day, the solution was separated by using Whatman No.1 and again kept in dark condition for 2 hours. Then after diluting the filtrate solution, optical density was determined with the help of spectrophotometer, and total anthocyanin content of flower petals was calculated (Schmidt and Mohr, 1981).

$$\text{Total Anthocyanin (mg/100g)} = \text{Total OD}/100\text{g}/98.2$$

Where, Total OD/100 g = (OD X Volume makeup x 100)/weight of the sample.

Statistical analysis

The collected data for all traits were statistically analysed according to the Fishers analysis of variance technique as given by Gomez & Gomez (1984). The level of significance used for field experiment was $P = 0.05$, where significance difference was observed between all the treatments and standard error mean (S.Em \pm) was also calculated with critical difference (CD at 5%).

Results

Vegetative parameters

As revealed from the Table-1, the plants receiving the treatment in the 4th week of November proved to be superior in case of plant height measured at peak flowering stage, whereas plant spread was greater in plants bended in the month of December, while treatment T₁ (bending on 4th week of September) registered with least values for both plant spread and plant height. In terms of individual leaf area, the trend followed was similar to plant height, largest (23.37 cm²) leaves were produced by the plants receiving the treatment in the 4th week of November. The rate of shoot elongation in the bent shoots at 15 and 30 days after bending was recorded highest (3.84 and 27.85 cm) in treatments second week of November and second week of October respectively (Figure 1), whereas treatment T₁(15 days) and T₅(30 days) registered values (0.74 and 10.07 cm) for the trait rate of shoot elongation. In terms of dry matter accumulation in stem and leaves, treatment T₅ (bending on 4th week of November) exhibited greater (38.34 and 37.82 g) values, whereas bending ending was early as 2nd fortnight of September registered with least (31.71 and 31.10 g) values (Figure 2).

Flowering parameters

Flowering parameters like days to flower bud emergence, days to sepal reflex and days to attain cup shape stage (harvesting stage) from the date of bending. As indicated from the tabular representation (Table-1) shoots bending at different time significantly influenced the flowering parameters. From the table it is evident that plants receiving the treatment in the 2nd week of October exhibited early (29.07 days) flower bud emergence, whereas delayed (42.72 days) flower bud emergence registered in treatment T₅ (bending on 4th week of November). In terms of days to sepal reflex and to attain cup shape stage similar trend was followed and early (40.81 and 43.65 days) sepal reflex and cup shape attained in the treatment T₂ (bending on 2nd week of October) revealed earliness for both the traits. Flower bud emergence was

Comment [eb14]: What are the collected traits in your work? Please clearly write the data taken and you recorded them on a separate sub title "Data collection". You have to have a data collection method for each parameter including how and when the data were taken.

Comment [eb15]: What is the software used for the analysis? please include it here.

Comment [eb16]: The result tables should come under this or under the discussion part. They are the main finding of your work. They are not supplementary information to be put under the Appendixes. Thus, please bring under the result and discussion part.

Comment [eb17]: At which week of December?

Comment [eb18]: For clarity it is advisable if you properly mention the result you obtained for each parameter either in separate paragraph or separate sentences by taking the maximum and minimum values of each parameter together with the respective treatments where the maximum and minimum results were obtained. This comment also works for the remaining other parameters (quality, flower parameters.)

delayed by 13.65 days in shoots bended in 4th week of November as compared to bending in October 2nd week. The traits days to sepal reflex and days to attain harvestable stage (cup stage) was also delayed in the shoots bended in December irrespective of the time of bending.

Flower quality parameters

Data recorded for the flower quality traits were influenced by the time of shoot bending and presented in Table-2. The traits length and diameter of flower bud, flower diameter at harvest, shoot length of flowering shoot, length and diameter of flower stalk, length and diameter of pedicel and weight of individual flower with stalk attained levels of significance in response to shoot bending done commencing from last week of September to December in both the years of study. Bending as early as September was reported to be best in terms of quality attributes like length and diameter of flower bud, length and diameter of pedicel and weight of individual flower with stalk. Among the treatment schedule, bending in the 2nd week of December, produced biggest (4.56 cm) size flowers at cup shape stage (harvesting stage). Application of shoot bending in the 2nd week of November proved to be superior in terms of flower quality parameters like shoot length of flower, stalk length of flower and thickness of stalk, while plants received treatment in the 4th week of September registered with least values for all the flower quality traits. As we can see from the graphical presentation (Figure 3), greater number of flowers from the bent shoots were recorded in the treatment T₅ (bending on 4th week of November), while minimum was registered in T₁ (bending on 4th week of September).

Life of flowers in the field condition and in vase solution was observed maximum from the plants received treatment in the 4th week of November, whereas minimum values for self-life and vase life of flowers was recorded from treatment T₁ (Bending on 4th week of September). Similar trend was also followed in terms of number of flowers per plant in bent shoots. The maximum (10.13) number of flowers were produced from the treatment T₅ (bending on 4th week of November).

Chlorophyll and Anthocyanin content

As presented in Figure 4, chlorophyll content in leaf tissues and anthocyanin content in flower petals was maximum in the plants receiving treatment in the 4th week of November, whereas minimum values for these traits was recorded in shoots produced as a result of bending in the 2nd fortnight of September.

Discussion

Significant difference in the vegetative traits, plant height, plant spread & leaf area was observed among the treatments. The findings of the study displayed tallest plants (63.75 cm) with largest leaves (23.37 cm²) in Bending on 4th week of November & shortest plants (44.13 cm) with smallest leaves (15.40 cm²) in September bended shoots. These findings are in conformity to the results obtained by Shin *et al.* (2001) in rose, where they suggested that with decreasing temperature stem length and leaf area increased and this difference may be due to exposure of plants to lower temperature during treatment application and thereafter gradual fall of temperature. Better plant growth in rose with the progress in winter after pruning treatment was reported by Younis *et al.* (2013). Decreased leaf area as evident in the findings (Table-1) during September & October (irrespective of weeks) bending, can be ascribed to the high temperature as observed in the meteorological data [35 °C approximate average monthly temperature (Fig.-1)] which can be related to the research results obtained by Rezazadeh *et al.* (2018), where increasing temperature from 15 to 25 °C enhanced leaf expansion, nonetheless 35 °C resulted in less leaf expansion. Decrease in growth in field grown rose under hot climate was also suggested by Nadeem *et al.* (2011). Minimum values of vegetative parameters namely plant height, leaf area, rate of shoot elongation & plant spread at prevailing high temperature (bending treatments applied in September & October 2nd and 4th week) can be imported to lower photosynthesis rate at high temperature as reported by Ushio *et al.* (2008) and Xie *et al.* (2019). Much as a trend was observed in the findings of the study, reduced minimum and maximum temperature led to greater plant height, plant spread and leaf area. It was also noted that the traits registered minimum values when bending was done in the 2nd fortnight of December, this may be attributed to the sudden fall in the minimum temperature after 2nd week of December as evident from the meteorological observations recorded (Fig. 1) & can be explained that plant growth in low temperature condition is slower due to low carbon use efficiency.

With respect to plant spread (East to West & North to South) more spread of plants canopy during both the years of study (65.60 cm & 65.10 cm, respectively) was in T₆ (Bending on 2nd week of December) followed by Bending on 4th week of December. Younis *et al.* (2013) documented pruning in *Rosa centifolia* during end of December resulted in maximum growth. Minimum spread in September bending can be supported by research findings that high temperature led to decrease in growth of rose bush as reported by Nadeem *et al.* (2011). Bending on 2nd week of November resulted in maximum (3.84 cm) shoot

Comment [eb19]: This is too large paragraph which contain information for different parameters. Thus, please separate it on parameter base. If not, you can separate based on the class of the parameters taken like vegetative in one, quality in the Other and flower parameters in other. This comment is applicable for the remaining part of the paper.

elongation rate when measured 15 days after bending. However, greater (27.85 cm) shoot elongation rate was recorded 30 days later (i.e. when measured in the 2nd week of November) as a result of response of shoots to bending in 2nd week of October. From meteorological observation made when the crop was in the field, the better performance of October bending can be credited to a gradual decrease of about 3-5°C (Fig. 1) in temperature recorded between application of treatment and the time when the data was recorded (30 °C prevailing temperature as against 33-35°C during treatment application). Yeo *et al.* (2011) working with single stem rose identified temperature as an appropriate weather variable for explaining growth of the crop. Increase rate of shoot elongation at 30 °C may be attributed to increased photosynthetic rates as reported by Pasian and Lieth (1989) in greenhouse grown rose Cv. Cara Mia

Early flower bud emergence was recorded in shoots bent at 2nd week of October and 4th week of September (29.07 & 29.70 days, respectively), Moe and Kristoffersen (1969) found at higher temperature, the days to flowering was lesser in rose. However, days taken to flower bud emergence were longer with delayed bending. The calculated value exhibited delayed bending resulted in gradual increase in the number of days taken to flower bud emergence from bending as may be influenced by the average monthly maximum-minimum temperature, which decreased till January. Delayed flower bud emergence due to lower temperature appeared to be in parallel to the findings of Shin *et al.* (2001), where number of days to bud break in 15 °C was 3 times that of in 30 °C. Discussing the other flowering attributes as presented in Table- 1, days to sepal reflex & attaining of cup shape stage (harvesting stage) was least (40.81 & 43.65 days, respectively) in the shoots bended on 2nd week of October (T₂). Impact of higher temperature on flowering traits in terms of earliness to blooming (FBE to harvestable stage) was in accordance to the conclusion drawn by Dieleman *et al.* (2005), supported by De Vries *et al.* (1982), Van den Berg (1987) and Marcelis van Acker (1994) in rose. The flowering traits FBE, sepal reflex and cup shape was seen to be delayed by decrease in the maximum-minimum temperature, these results match the observations recorded by Khattak and Pearson (2005) in *Antirrhinum*, where flowering was delayed as temperature decreased.

Flower quality parameters were significantly influenced by bending at different time, except the traits, flower bud length and diameter which showed negligible variation as appeared in readings presented in Table- 2 However, the flower diameter measured at harvest offered to suggest that bending in December 2nd week was superior to September, October

and early November bending, thus it can be discussed that plants receiving lower temperature regimes during application of the treatment & thereafter till harvest was promising in terms of flower diameter. This observation made can be discussed in the light that flower development required carbon import from the source organs (leaves), sucrose hydrolysis in the sink organs (flowers) is necessary to establish a concentrate gradient for carbon transport between the sources and sink (Dinar and Randich, 1985). High temperature reduced sucrose hydrolysis and therefore increase its concentration in flowers, which reduces or inhibits uptake of carbon by the developing flower buds & may consequently reduce final flower size. The difference in the day and night temperature in November, December & January favoured higher flower diameter. Flower shoot length and stalk length registered greater values (42.56 cm & 33.58 cm respectively) when the plants received bending treatments in 2nd week of November and reduced shoot length at bending in the month of September and October. When the prevailing temperature was as high as 35 °C with 100% RH reduced shoot length at temperature above 20 °C in rose was described by Dieleman *et al.* (2005), Shin *et al.* (2001), Marcelis-van Acker (1994) and De Vries *et al.* (1986) in rose. The perusal of data in Table- 2 revealed the influence of winter months on the pedicel length. The computed values in the Table-2, indicates the significant effect of bending time on weight of individual flowers with stalk, with heaviest flowers (15.02 g) resulting from bending of primary shoots in the 4th week of November (T₅), flower weight with least values (5.45 g) was seen in shoot bended as early as September 4th week (T₁) followed by bending in October 2nd week (T₂), where the values were statistically at par. Difference in the performance of November bending treatments with that of September & October bending treatments may be due to the negative impact of high temperature on flowering during the month of September and October. The result of the present study can be supported by research report of earlier workers Moe and Kristoffersen (1969), who suggested less number of petals may be the reason of lower flower weight as a result of high temperature. Significant variation was observed in the trait number of flowers per plant in bent shoots, highest (10.13) number of flowers were recorded in the plant receiving treatment in the month of November (T₅), while lowest number of flowers from bent shoots were harvested in T₁ (Bending on 4th week of September). Several researchers (Mortensen, 1995) reported that as temperature increased to 30°C there was a decrease in flower production. Mean Daily Temperature (MDT) influenced flower number such that flower number decreased with rise in MDT (Mattson & Erwin,2003; Moccaldi & Runkle, 2007). Increase in Mean Daily Temperature ranging from 16-26 °C decreased flower count in

Coreopsis grandiflora by 80%, in *Leucanthemum superbum* by 53% and in *Rudbeckia fulgida* by 75% (Yuan *et al.*, 1998).

Dry matter accumulation from the stem and leaf was calculated per 100 g of fresh weight (Fig. 3). Maximum dry matter accumulated was recorded in the stems and leaves bended on the 4th week of November, whereas minimum was in September treatments. This is further evident that a reduction in the percentage of dry matter that is partitioned to the flowers relative to vegetative structure under high temperature (Karlsson and Heins, 1992). In contrast to results of the present investigation, shoot biomass was found to increase with increasing temperature in American marigold, toria & globe amaranth. Similar results are reported in celosia (Pramuk and Runkle, 2005), summer snapdragon (Miller and Armitage, 2002). High temperature also reduces photosynthesis efficiency because of incomplete photorespiration (Van Iersel, 2003). The pigments ,Chlorophyll and Anthocyanin, in leaves and flower petals were significantly influenced with shoot bending at different time. The greater amount of chlorophyll and anthocyanin was recorded from the plants receiving treatment in the month of November, while values were least in T₁ (Bending on 4th week of September). Leaf chlorophyll degradation due to high temperature (35-37°C) was reported by Tsialtas & Maslaris, 2008. Similar finding in respect to higher amount of chlorophyll was also reported by Shin *et al.* (2001), whereas in case of anthocyanin content the finding was supported by Biran and Halevy, (1974) & Shisa and Takano, (1964) in rose and Maekawa and Nakamura, (1977) in carnation. higher anthocyanin content in flowers which were produced during December and January, may be due exposure to low temperature and high light intensity is likely to be helped in increase sugar content in petals.

Data availability

All data supporting the findings of this study are available within the paper and within its supplementary materials published online.

References

- Biran, I. and Halevy, A.H.(1974). Effects of varying light intensities and temperature treatments applied to whole plants, or locally to leaves or flower buds, on growth and pigmentation of 'Baccara' roses. *PhysiologiaPlantarum*,**31**:175–179.
- De Vries, D.P., Dubois, L.A.M. and Smeets, L. (1986). The effect of temperature on axillary bud-break of hybrid tea-rose seedlings. *ScientiaHorticulturae*,**28**: 281-287.

Comment [eb20]: The conclusion and recommendation are missed totally. So, please write a separate sub-tile for it and conclude your work.

Comment [eb21]: Please put the references in their alphabetical order and cross-check for the missed references on not used at all in the manuscript.

De Vries, D.P., Smeets, L. and Dubois, L.A.M. (1982). Interaction of temperature and light on growth and development of hybrid tea-rose seedlings, with reference to breeding

Comment [eb22]: Not in the main body

Dieleman, J. A., Meinen, E. and Dueck, T. A. (2005). Effects of temperature integration on growth and development of roses. *Acta Horticulturae*, **691**(1), 51.

Dinar, M. and Rudich, J. (1985). Effect of heat stress on assimilate partitioning in tomato. *Annals of Botany*, **56**(2): 239-248.

Karlsson, M. G., and Heins, R. D. (1992). Chrysanthemum dry matter partitioning patterns along irradiance and temperature gradients. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*, **72**(1), 307-316.

Khattak, A.M. and Pearson, S. (2005). Light quality and temperature effects on antirrhinum growth and development. *Journal of Zhejiang University. Science*, **6**(2): 119.

Kool, M.T.N. and Lenssen, E.F.A. (1997). Basal shoot formation in young rose plants: effects of bending practices and plant density. *Journal of Horticultural Science*, **72**(4): 635-644.

Le Bris, M., Champeroux, A., Bearez, P., Le Page-Degivry, M.T., 1998. Basipetal gradient of axillary bud inhibition along a rose (*Rosa hybrida* L.) stem: growth potential of primary buds and their two most basal secondary buds as affected by position and age. *Ann. Bot.* 81 (2), 301-309.

Maekawa, S. and Nakamura, N. (1977). Studies on the coloration of carnation flowers. VII. The effects of temperature on the coloration and pigmentation for the intact flower and plant growth. *Journal of the Japanese Society for Horticultural Science*, **45**: 375-382.

Marcelis-van Acker, C.A.M. (1994). Axillary bud development in rose. Thesis Wageningen, Agricultural University, 131 pp.

Marcelis-Van Acker, C.A.M., 1993. Morphological study of the formation and development of basal shoots in roses. *Sci. Hort.* 54, 143-152.

Mattson, N. S. and Erwin, J. E. (2003). Temperature affects flower initiation and development rate of Impatiens, Petunia & Viola, *Acta Horticulturae*, **624**: 191-197.

Miller, A., & Armitage, A. M. (2002). Temperature, irradiance, photoperiod, and growth retardants influence greenhouse production of *Angelonia angustifolia* Benth. Angel Mist series. *HortScience*, **37**(2): 319-321.

Moccaldi, L. A. and Runkle, E. S. (2007). Modeling the effect of temperature and photosynthetic daily light integral on growth and flowering of *Salvia*

- splendens* & *Tagetes patula*, *Journal of American Society of Horticulture Science*, 132: 283-288.
- Moe, R. and Kristoffersen, T. (1969). The effect of temperature and light on growth and flowering of *Rosa Baccara* in greenhouse. *Acta Horticulturae*, **14**:157-166.
- Mortensen, L. M. (1995). Effects of foliar sprays of methanol on growth of some greenhouse plants. *Scientia horticulturae*, **64**(3): 187-191.
- Mosher, J.M., Turner, D.W., 1999. The impact of within-row spacing on the productivity of glasshouse roses grown in two planting systems. *J. Hort. Sci. Biotech.* 74 (6), 721–728.
- Nadeem, M., Khan, M.A., Riaz, A. and Ahmad, R. (2011). Evaluation of growth and flowering potential of rosa hybrida cultivars under Faisalabad climatic conditions. *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **48**:283-288.
- Ohkawa, K., Suematsu, M., 1999. Arching cultivation techniques for growing cut-roses. *Acta Hort.* 482, 47–51.
- Pasian, C.C. and Lieth, J.H. (1989). Analysis of the response of net photosynthesis of rose
- Pramuk, L. A., & Runkle, E. S. (2005). Photosynthetic daily light integral during the seedling stage influences subsequent growth and flowering of *Celosia*, *Impatiens*, *Salvia*, *Tagetes*, and *Viola*. *HortScience*, **40**(4): 1099C-1099.
- Rezazadeh, A., Harkess, R.L. and Telmadarrehei, T. (2018). The effect of light intensity and temperature on flowering and morphology of potted red Firespike. *Horticulturae*, **4**: 36.
- Sarkka, L.E. and Rita, H.J. (1999). Yield and quality of cut roses produced by pruning or by bending down shoots. *Gartenbauwissenschaft*, **64**(4): 173-176.
- Shin, H., Lieth, J.H., Kin, S., Shin, H.K., Kim, S.H. and Zieslin, N. (2001). Effect of temperature on leaf area and flower size in rose. *Acta Horticulturae*, **547**:185-191.
- Shisa, M. and Takano, T. (1964). Effect of temperature and light on the coloration of rose flowers. *Journal of the Japanese Society for Horticultural Science*, **33**: 140–146.
- Tsialtas, J. T., & Maslaris, N. (2008). Evaluation of a leaf area prediction model proposed for sunflower. *Photosynthetica*, **46**(2), 294-297.
- Ushio, A., Mae, T. and Makino, A. (2008). Effect of temperature on photosynthesis and plant growth and in the assimilation shoots of a rose. *Soil Science & Plant Nutrition*, **54**(2): 253-258.

Comment [eb23]: Not in the main body

van Iersel, M.W. (2003). Short-term temperature change affects the carbon exchange characteristics and growth of four bedding plant species. *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science*, **128**(1): 100-106.

Xie, L., Zhang, H. and Li, D. (2019). Physiological response of garden roses to hot and humid conditions. *Horticultural science*, **46**(1): 26-33.

Yeo, K. H., Cho, Y. Y. and Lee, Y. B. (2011). Estimation of growth and yield for single stemmed rose 'Vital' in a single stem system. *Horticulture, Environment and Biotechnology*, **52**(5): 455-465

Younis, A., Riaz, A., Aslam, S., Ahsan, M., Tariq, U., Javaid, F. and Hameed, M. (2013). Effect of different pruning dates on growth and flowering of *Rosa centifolia*. *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **50**(4): 605-609.

Yuan, M., Carlson, W.H., Heins, R.D. and Cameron, A. (1998). Effect of forcing temperature on time to flower for *Coreopsis grandiflora*, *Gaillardia grandiflora*, *Leucanthemum superbum*, and *Rudbeckia fulgida*. *HortScience*, **33**:663–666.

Zieslin, N., Mor, Y., 1981. Plant management of greenhouse roses. Formation of renewal canes. *Sci. Hort.* 15,67–75.

Sadasivam, S. and Manickam, A. (1992). *Biochemical Methods for Agricultural Sciences*. Wiley Eastern Ltd., New Delhi.

Schmidt, R. and Mohr, H. (1981). Time-Dependent Changes in the Responsiveness to Light of Phytochrome-Mediated Anthocyanin Synthesis. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, **4**: 433-438.

Comment [eb24]: Not in the main body

Table 1: Effect of shoot bending at different time on different vegetative and flowering parameters of Hybrid Tea rose cultivar Minu Pearle

Comment [eb25]: Should come under the two parameters in the result part of the manuscript.

Treatment	Vegetative parameters				Flowering parameters		
	Plant height (cm)	Plant spread (E-W) (cm)	Plant spread (N-S) (cm)	Individual leaf area (cm ²)	Days to FBE	Days to sepal reflex	Days to cup shape

T₁	44.13	46.35	46.97	15.40	29.70	45.22	47.84
T₂	46.38	52.27	49.77	16.78	29.07	40.81	43.65
T₃	54.43	57.07	56.39	16.78	36.74	49.92	51.92
T₄	59.16	54.90	53.90	21.73	38.51	49.05	53.15
T₅	63.75	58.40	58.02	23.37	42.72	54.91	59.20
t₆	61.53	62.26	65.10	21.10	42.45	59.92	66.25
t₇	57.10	65.60	61.50	19.43	41.47	58.65	65.23
S.E.M. ±	1.99	2.23	1.63	0.97	0.55	0.82	0.60
C.D. at 5 %	5.70	6.39	4.67	2.78	1.57	2.34	1.72

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 2: Effect of shoot bending at different time on flower quality parameters of Hybrid Tea rose cultivar Minu Pearle

Treatments	Length of flower bud (cm)	Diameter of flower bud (cm)	Diameter of flower at harvest (cm)	Shoot length (cm)	Stalk length (cm)	Stalk diameter (cm)	Pedicel length (cm)	Pedicel diameter (cm)	Weight of individual flower (g)	Self-life of flower (days)	Vase life of flower (days)
T ₁	1.73	1.30	2.65	31.01	23.15	0.48	5.07	0.39	5.45	5.48	4.58
T ₂	2.22	1.35	2.61	34.91	25.82	0.61	4.50	0.41	6.83	4.67	4.37
T ₃	2.01	1.22	2.36	38.01	28.54	0.56	4.92	0.36	5.88	5.20	4.83
T ₄	2.28	1.26	3.90	42.56	33.58	0.77	6.48	0.47	13.58	6.93	5.62
T ₅	2.61	1.77	4.44	40.46	31.46	0.66	7.35	0.49	15.02	7.21	7.08
t ₆	2.11	1.75	4.56	39.14	31.73	0.57	7.11	0.43	12.65	6.21	6.48
t ₇	1.88	1.57	4.08	37.48	28.92	0.47	6.36	0.40	11.74	5.58	5.35
S.E.M. ±	0.06	0.02	0.10	0.90	0.75	0.03	0.18	0.03	0.31	0.21	0.24
C.D. at 5 %	0.19	0.14	0.29	2.59	2.14	0.09	0.53	0.08	0.89	0.61	0.68

Comment [eb26]: Should com under the result part.





Fig. 1: Weekly Meteorological observation on maximum and minimum temperature (°C) during the experimental period.

Source: Department of Agricultural Meteorology and Physics, BCKV, Mohanpur




Fig. 2: Effect of shoot bending at different time on rate of shoot elongation at 15 and 30 days after bending of Hybrid Tea rose cultivar Minu Pearle




Fig. 3: Effect of shoot bending at different time on leaf and stem dry matter accumulation Per 100 g of fresh weight of Hybrid Tea rose cultivar Minu Pearle




Fig. 4: Effect of shoot bending at different time on number of flowers per plant in bent shoots of Hybrid Tea rose cultivar Minu Pearle




Fig. 5. Effect of shoot bending at different time on chlorophyll (leaf) and anthocyanin (petal) Content of Hybrid Tea rose cultivar Minu Pearle