Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Research in Surgery**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJRS_103675**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Enteric Duplication Cyst Presenting As Volvulus –Two Case Reports.**
Type of the Article	**Case report**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalajrs.com/index.php/AJRS/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments **1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) **2. Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) **3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** **4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** **5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** **6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	**1. Yes, since the article included linking cardiovascular diseases with fasting during the month of Ramadan, and it is one of the few articles in this field.** **2. Yes** **3. Yes, the abstract is comprehensive for all aspects of the research** **4. Yes, the parts and vocabulary of the article are appropriate** **5. Yes, scientifically correct** **6. References are not sufficient. Please add some references in the introduction and in the discussion.**	
Minor REVISION comments **1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	Yes, but there are some minor modifications	
Optional/General comments	Follow author guidelines	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Ahmed Hamad Saleh**
Department, University & Country	**Kirkuk University, Iraq**