Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Research in Zoology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJRIZ_102939**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Freshwater Lobster Cultivation in Cangkuang District, Bandung Regency, West Java, Indonesia: A Comprehensive Overview**
Type of the Article	**Review Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalajriz.com/index.php/AJRIZ/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments **1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) **2. Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) **3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** **4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** **5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** **6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	**Yes, the manuscript important for scientific community (Short communication)** **Title : Acceptable** **Abstract : Abstract should present introduction, purpose, methods, results and conclusions** **Introduction : Before the purpose, state the previous studies conducted by other people or same authors for other biota and or same biota** **Methodology : but must be add more, not clear** **Results and Discussions : Compare to other previous studies have been conducted by other researchers or same researcher, in other organism or same organism** **Yes, this manuscript is scientifically correct** **The formatting does not respect the Journals requirements. Please see the model on our website and make the necessary adjustments." please add more references.**	
Minor REVISION comments **1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	sufficient, but needs to be improved	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Adam Robisalmi**
Department, University & Country	**Indonesia**