

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_103418</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Korean Dramas and Construction of Career Woman Symbols</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>In response to the key questions cited in the review sheet, the recommendations to be considered for the publication of the article are suggested by chapters or sections.:</p> <p><b>RESEARCH TITLE:</b> Korean Dramas and Construction of Career Woman Symbols.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Give greater precision</li> </ol> <p><b>SUMMARY</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It is necessary to give greater precision, it must show the key components of the article such as: Objective of study, methodology, results and conclusion.</li> <li>Its length must be between 150 and 250 words.</li> <li>Sort the keywords alphabetically up to a maximum of five</li> </ol> <p><b>INTRODUCTION</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It is necessary not to saturate the paragraphs that, according to APA style, the maximum possible is for direct citations up to 5 lines and for indirect citations 10 lines.</li> <li>There are paragraphs without the quotes that endorse the statements described.</li> <li>Be careful with connectors that are already out of date (&amp;) Eg (Elyan &amp; Irwansyah, 2020).</li> </ol> <p><b>THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK/LITERATURE REVIEW</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>As far as possible, this chapter should be differentiated from the introduction to allow a clearer picture of the theoretical and conceptual contribution.</li> </ol> <p><b>MATERIALS AND METHODS</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The procedures, techniques or steps that the study developed to guide the achievement of the general objective must be carefully considered.</li> <li>Consider the structure and key components as dimensions that the interview addressed.</li> <li>If possible, to give greater scientific support to the research, include more interviews that allow a better projection of comparison of variable costs and other indicators to be visualized.</li> </ol> <p><b>RESULTS PRESENTATION</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Review the APA Guide SEVENTH EDITION, for the design and statements of tables and graphs.</li> <li>Consider this chapter differently from the discussion</li> </ol> <p><b>DISCUSSION</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Differentiate this chapter from the results.</li> <li>It is recommended to use the following process for its writing, consider the review of the literature, the results of the study, the analysis of the study background and finally the general objective and specific objectives for its writing that allows a deep analysis between convergences and divergence regarding the impact of the topic under study</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 1.7**

	<p><b>CONCLUSION</b></p> <p>1. It must focus on the conclusive essence of the work based on the results found, the discussion analysis of the results and the general objective that the study sought to achieve. Ending with the appreciation or analysis of the researcher(s).</p> <p><b>BIBLIOGRAPHIC REFERENCES</b></p> <p>1. The study must emphasize the APA Regulations, being necessary to restructure the forms and details according to the APA Standards Guide 7th edition: For this, the source is left: <a href="https://normas-apa.org/wp-content/uploads/Guide-APA-Standards-7th-edition.pdf">https://normas-apa.org/wp-content/uploads/Guide-APA-Standards-7th-edition.pdf</a></p> <p>2. In essence, the article works with APA style. Remember that each citation can originate from an article, a magazine, a PDF file, a book, etc. each of these has a way of writing.</p> <p>3. Evaluate the number of bibliographical references cited in relation to the requirements of the journal</p> <p><b>FINAL CONSIDERATION:</b> It is important to take the suggested measures and publish the work, which in our opinion is highly relevant to the scientific community and the general population.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>It is necessary to review some articles and verbs for a better scientific writing.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>General comment:</b> The media, with an emphasis on audiovisuals and their content, must be managed with the capacity for analysis and reflection necessary to differentiate the plots and if they can really be applied to reality, given that the representative scenarios have as a symbol to direct to the outcome that pays attention to the viewers, however, the strategies taken in them could not necessarily solve the problems of real life.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Espiritu Martinez Antony Paul</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National Autonomous High Andean University of Tarma, Perú</b>

Review Form 1.7