

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMCS_102733</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Restrained dr-Power Dominating Sets in Graphs</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamcs.com/index.php/JAMCS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Yes. With respect to the application (PMUs) of the parameter mentioned, it worths.</b></li> <li>2. <b>Yes</b></li> <li>3. <b>Yes, it is clear.</b></li> <li>4. <b>Yes</b></li> <li>5. <b>Yes, it is fine.</b></li> <li>6. <b>Sufficient and Recent.</b></li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Too simple language used. Strictly needs a grammatical clearance and make it good.</b></li> </ol>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>As it is a new domination parameter, it will be better if a collective result provided with the values obtained for all standard graphs, in a single observance, without proof.</b></li> <li>▪ <b>Bounds of the parameter may be defined, with proof.</b></li> <li>▪ <b>In the proof of Theorem 2.1, refer the remark as "Remark 2.1"</b></li> <li>▪ <b>In Corollary 2.3, <math>E(G) \cup E(H) \cup \{uv u \in V(G), v \in E(G)\}</math>, highlighted to be corrected.</b></li> </ul>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ahila, A</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kalasalingam Academy of Research and Education, India</b>