

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_103835</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Diversity and Multi-variate analysis of Basmati and non-Basmati rice genotypes over two seasons using organo-leptic traits</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> It is very important to the scientific world as well as farming communities because high yielding rice cultivars with desirable traits need to be bred.</p> <p><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> The title of the article is suitable but must be revisited</p> <p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> The manuscript is scientifically correct because of its nature and references cited and listed.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>English need to be updated</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>This is a important manuscript but the author(s) need to</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Consider arranging the references in alphabetical order while maintaining the numbering system</li> <li>▪ Revisit the tables.</li> <li>▪ Table 3 need to be improved and subsequently to be labelled 3a, 3b and 3c with heading</li> <li>▪ Centre Ctrl +E is not fine please consider changing</li> </ul>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Felix Mereka Chipojola</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Malawi</b>