

**Efficacy of biopesticides for the management of rice yellow stem borer  
*scirpophagaincertulas*(Walker) in rice at prayagraj**

**Comment [CC1]:** Where is prayagra ???

**ABSTRACT**

The present study entitled “Efficacy of biopesticides for the management of rice yellow stem borer [*scirpophagaincertulas*(Walker)] at prayagraj” The eight treatments comprised of different insecticides and biopesticides. *Metarhiziumanisopliae* (T<sub>1</sub>), *Bacillus thuringiensis*1.15% (T<sub>2</sub>), *Beauveria bassiana*1.15% (T<sub>3</sub>), *Verticillium lecanii*1.15% (T<sub>4</sub>), Neem oil 5% (T<sub>5</sub>), Eucalyptus oil5% (T<sub>6</sub>), Imidacloprid 17.8%SL (T<sub>7</sub>), one untreated Control (T<sub>8</sub>) were evaluated against rice stem borer. The different insecticides and biopesticides treatments revealed that the minimum dead hearts, were recorded in synthetic insecticides, Imidacloprid 17.8%SL followed by *Beauveria bassiana* 1.15%, *Metarhiziumanisopliae*. The minimum dead heart percentages Imidacloprid 17.8%SL were observed in as compared to the natural dead heart of per cent being noticed in untreated control. The percent of the dead heart infestation among the treatments are notices as *Metarhiziumanisopliae* (T<sub>1</sub>) *Bacillus thuringiensis*1.15%WP (T<sub>2</sub>), *Beauveria bassiana* 1.15%WP (T<sub>3</sub>), *Verticillium lecanii*1.15%WP (T<sub>4</sub>), Neem oil 5% (T<sub>5</sub>), Eucalyptus oil5% (T<sub>6</sub>), Imidacloprid 17.8%SL(T<sub>7</sub>), The yield and cost benefit ratio of the data revealed that the highest yield was recorded in the Imidacloprid 17.8%SL @46.66q/ha with highest Benefit-cost ratio of 1:3.47 followed by *Bacillus thuringiensis* 1.15% @ 38.33 q/ha with benefit cost ratio of 1:2:77 *Beauveria bassiana*1.15% @ 43.33q/ha with benefit cost ratio of 1:3:27, *Verticilliumlecanii*1.15% @ 36.66q/ha with benefit cost ratio of 1:2:73, Neem oil 5% @ 33.33q/ha with benefit cost ratio of 1:2:46, Eucalyptus oil5% @ 31.66 q/ha with benefit cost ratio of 1:2:35, *Metarhiziumanisopliae*@41.66q/ha with benefit cost ratio of 1:3:13 The chemicals shows better results in combating to the pest infestation compare to biopesticides and botanicals.

**Comment [CC2]:** Research problem statement, back ground of research ???

**Comment [CC3]:** Complete the address of research site

**Comment [CC4]:** Hypothesis of study???

**KEY WORDS:** Bio-pesticides, Chemicals, Cost benefit, Efficacy, Rice, stem borer (*scirpophagaincertulas*)

**Comment [CC5]:** Objective of study???

## INTRODUCTION

Rice (*Oryzasativa* L.) occupies the prominent place in Indian agriculture. It is the most important staple food crop of the developing world for more than 3 billion people. The production and productivity of rice is low in Chhattisgarh and India as compared to world production. Chhattisgarh popularly known as “Rice Bowl of India” occupies an area around 3756.80 thousand hectares with the production of 5.22 million tones and productivity of 2050 kg per hectares (**Krishi Dairy, 2016**). Amongst various constraints for low productivity of rice the insect pests and diseases are very important.

The hot and humid environment in which rice is grown is very conducive for proliferation of insects and diseases. The rice plant is attacked by more than 128 species of insects, 20 of them can cause serious economic loss (**Kalode, 2005**). YSB causes 1% to 19% yield loss in early planted and 38% to 80% in late transplanted rice crops.

Various control strategies have been adopted to check insect pest of rice, use of synthetic insecticides is a common method of pest control. But The indiscriminate uses of insecticides have resulted in a number of undesirable side effects such as the development of resistant strain of insects, environmental pollution and health hazards to farmers (**Hasan et al., 2002**) .

Pesticides have also entered into the food chain and have bioaccumulated in the higher tropic level. More recently, several human acute and chronic illnesses have been associated with pesticides exposure. Therefore, it has now become necessary to search for the alternative means of pest control, which can minimize the use of synthetic pesticides. Botanical pesticides are the important alternatives to minimize or replace the use of synthetic pesticides. Botanicals with different modes of action may minimize insecticide resistance and pest resurgence problems while being safe and ecologically acceptable.

Biopesticides are a good alternative to the synthetic pesticide. Neem is one of the most reliable botanical sources of biopesticides. Neem plant has been known for three decades for its potential against insect pests.

Leaves and seed extract of Neem plant have been observed for their deleterious effects on insects. The principle component that has insecticidal activity in Neem extracts is a limonoid, Azadirachtin. Azadirachtin is non-toxic to mammals, rat, oral acute LD<sub>50</sub> is more than 5000 mg/kg. A 90 day oral feeding of rats with 10,000ppm of Azadirachtin did not show chronic toxicity (**Mehlhorn et al., 2011**).

**Comment [CC6]:** Objective & aims of study ????

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field experiment was conducted at the Central Research Farm of Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, U.P. during *kharif* season 2022. Trail was laid out in Randomised Block Design consisting of eight treatments including control. Each treatment was replicated thrice and C84 was sown and transplant date spacing of 30x35cm. Standard agronomic practices were followed to ensure good crop stand. The treatments Imidacloprid 17.8%SL, *Beauveria bassiana* 1.15%, *Bacillus thuringiensis* 1.15%, Neem oil 5%, *Metarhizium anisopliae* 1.15%, *Verticillium lecanii* 1.15%, Eucalyptus oil 5%. The observations on account of Dead hearts and White heads were recorded on five randomly selected plants per treatment. First count was done one day before insecticide application and post treatment counts were made after 3, 7, 14 days. Two sprays were given with an interval of 15 days. In order to assess the percentage of Dead hearts and White heads on five randomly selected and tagged plants per net plot. Dead hearts and White ears due to rice yellow stem borer pest was recorded from each net plot and the population was worked out per plant.

### Observations.

The observations on the number of rice yellow stem borer pest were recorded from the ten randomly selected and tagged plants from each plot. The observations were recorded a day before followed by 3<sup>rd</sup>, 7<sup>th</sup>, 14<sup>th</sup> days after spraying. Dead hearts and White ears due to rice yellow stem borer pest were recorded from each net plot and the population was worked out per plant.

The percentage of dead hearts and white ears calculated by using given formula.

$$\text{Percentage of dead hearts} = \frac{\text{Total no dead hearts}}{\text{Total no of tillers}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Percent of White ears} = \frac{\text{Total number of white ears}}{\text{Total number of tillers}} \times 100$$


## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

All the insecticides were significantly superior over control in reducing the infestation percent of stem borer which were the mean of 3, 7, and 14 DAS after insecticidal application. Imidacloprid 17.8SL was found significantly superior (4.92), these findings are supported by the Chatterjeet *al.*(2014), Followed by *Beauveria bassiana* 1.15WP (6.37) these findings are supported by (singhet *al.*2021) *Metarhiziumanisopliae*(6.59) was found next best treatments similar results are recorded by by Chormule *etal.*(2014), *Bacillus thuringiensis* 1.15WP (6.80) was found next similar result recorded by (Madhuet *al.*2019) *Verticillium lecanii* (7.00), similar findings are also reported in Rice by (Samanta *etal.*(2017), Neem oil 5% (7.80) was next best treatment these similar findings are with those of Choudhary *et al.*(2017), Eucalyptus oil 5%(8.43) found to be least effective but comparatively superior over the untreated control recorded (23.51) these results were in supportive with Longkumare *al.*(2022).

**Table 1.) “Effect of biopesticides for the management of rice yellow stem borer [*scirpophaga incertulas*(walker)] at prayagraj” infestation after first spray**

TREATMENTS		MEAN% OF Dead hearts/5 plants				
		1DBS	3DAS	7DAS	14DAS	MEAN
<b>T0</b>	Control	16.17	16.95	19.15	23.35	19.81
<b>T1</b>	<i>Metarhiziumanisopliae</i>	10.17	7.71	5.73	8.60	7.347
<b>T2</b>	<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> 1.15%wp	10.58	7.96	6.10	8.83	7.630
<b>T3</b>	<i>Beauveria bassiana</i> 1.15%wp	9.90	7.58	5.14	8.58	7.100
<b>T4</b>	<i>Verticillium lecanii</i> 1.15%wp	11.86	8.18	6.36	9.24	7.927

<b>T5</b>	Neem oil 5%	12.96	9.32	7.51	10.83	9.220
<b>T6</b>	Eucalyptus oil5%	13.98	10.01	7.85	11.19	9.683
<b>T7</b>	Imidacloprid17.8%SL	9.72	5.61	3.38	6.91	5.300
<b>F-TEST</b>		<b>NS</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>
<b>C.V</b>		--	3.58	1.97	3.04	1.01
<b>CD(5%)</b>		--	3.31	2.46	3.05	1.76



**Fig 1. Effect of biopesticides for the management of rice yellow stem borer ((application of 1<sup>st</sup> spray)**

**Table 2. “Effectofbiopesticidesfor the management of rice yellow stem borer [*scirpophagaincertulas(walker)*] at prayagraj” infestationaftersecond spray**

TREATMENTS		MEAN%DEADHEARTSANDWHITEHEADS				
		1DBS	3DAS	7DAS	14DAS	MEAN
<b>T0</b>	<b>Control</b>	23.35	25.48	26.88	29.27	27.210
<b>T1</b>	<i>Metarhiziuanisopliae</i>	8.60	6.09	4.92	6.53	5.847
<b>T2</b>	<i>Bacillusthuringiensis</i> 1.15%wp	8.83	6.34	5.02	6.56	5.973
<b>T3</b>	<i>Beauveriabassiana</i> 1.15%wp	8.58	5.80	4.89	6.26	5.650
<b>T4</b>	<i>Verticillium lecanii</i> 1.15%wp	9.24	6.39	5.11	6.75	6.083
<b>T5</b>	Neem oil 5%	10.83	6.94	5.35	6.86	6.383
<b>T6</b>	Eucalyptus oil5%	11.19	7.89	6.04	7.65	7.193
<b>T7</b>	Imidacloprid 17.8%SL	6.91	4.37	3.20	6.10	4.557
<b>F-TEST</b>		<b>NS</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>
<b>CV</b>		--	1.71	1.22	1.67	0.51
<b>CD(5%)</b>		--	2.31	1.94	2.26	1.25




Fig 2. Effect of biopesticides for the management of rice yellow stem borer (application of 2<sup>nd</sup> spray)

## CONCLUSION

Applications of the treatments for the management of rice yellow Stem Borer were initiated when the infestation crossed ETL (5 %) in the field. Subsequently applications were undertaken at an interval of 20 days. In all two applications were made during the experimental period. The observations on stem were recorded after 3, 7 and 14 days of application. The results are summarized as follows.

Among all the treatments, most effective Number of dead heart percentage infestation of yellow stem borer, was recorded in imidacloprid 17.8%SL (4.92), followed by *Beauveria bassiana*

1.15%wp (6.37), *Metarhiziumanisopliae*(6.59), *Bacillusthuringiensis* 1.15%wp (6.80), *Verticilliumlecanii* 1.15%wp (7.00), Neem oil 5% (7.80), Eucalyptus oil 5%(8.43), weresignificantlysuperiorover untreatedcontrol(23.51).

## REFERENCE

- Chaudhari, R., Chandrakar, G., Bhardwaj, J. R., Khan, H. H. and Sahu, R. (2017).** Assessment of the efficacy of neem-based insecticides for the management of yellow stem borer, (*Scirpophagaincertulas*) Walk.in paddyfield. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, **6** (5): 1446-1499.
- Dhivya, G. and Kumar, K. (2021).** Bio-efficacy of botanical against the stem borer *Scirpophagaincertulas* (Walker) in rice. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, **10**(8): 311-314.
- Ghongade, D.S. and Sangha, K.S. (2021).** Efficacy of biopesticide against *Scirpophagaincertulas*. *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 31-19.
- Kachhawa, D. (2017).** Microorganism as biopesticides. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, **5**(3) 468-473.
- Kalode, M.B. (2005).** Insect pest of rice and their management in rice in Indian Perspective Today and Tomorrow Printers and Publishers (India), **3**: 819-854.
- Krishi Diary. (2016).** Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, C.G. 5-6.
- Kumar, K., and Balasubramamiam, M. (2017).** Bioefficacy of neem formulations against the rice yellow stem borer. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, **7** (3): 1145-1149.
- Longkumar, I. Y., Singh, K. I., and Singh, A. (2017).** Efficacy of eco-friendly insecticides against yellow stem borer under *khari* rice-crop-ecosystem of Manipur valley. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, **6** (11) : 19-21.
- Madhu, B., Warghat, A. N. and Tayde, A. R. (2019).** Comparative effect of biopesticide and

commercial product on rice yellow stem borer *Scirpophagaincertulas*. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, **8**(1):758-760.

**Mehlhorn, H.K., Al-Rasheid, A.S. and Abdel-**

**Ghaffar, F. (2011).** The Neem Tree Story: Extracts that Really Work. *Parasitology Research Journal*, 1:77-108.

**Rajendra, D.K., Shrivastava., Kumar, D., and Kumar S. (2016)** Efficacy of different novel insecticides and bio-pesticides against stem borer *Scirpophagaincertulas* (Walker). *Research Journal of Chemical and Environmental Sciences*, **5** (3):21-24.

**Sarwar, M. (2012)** Study on the non-aromatic rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) varieties resistance to rice stem borers (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) and yield factors. *International Journal of Agronomy and Plant Production*, **3**(5):159-163.

**Samanta, A., and Sen, K. (2017)** A potential biocontrol agent in insect pest management. *Inno. Farm.*, **2**(2): 117-121.

**Singh., A. (2018)** Comparative Efficacy of botanicals against yellow Stem Borers (*Scirpophagaincertulas*, Walker) and Leaf Folder (*Cnaphalocrocis medinalis*, Guenée) of rice. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 474-478

**Rajpoot., S. K. S. Dixit., S. Prasad., V. Giri., S. P. Singh., R.A. Parkash., A. 2021** Evaluation of insecticides and biopesticides against *Scirpophagaincertulas* (Walker), leaf folder *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* and green leafhopper in basmati rice. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, **10**(12): 368-371.

**Chormule., A, J (2014)** Bioefficacy of new insecticide molecules against rice yellow stem borer, *Scirpophagaincertulas* (Walker). *an international quarterly journal of environmental science* 63-67:

**Chatterjee., S., and Singh., B (2021)** Relative efficacy of some biorational and microbial insecticides against yellow stem borer and whorl maggot of boro paddy. *Journal of Biopesticides*, **14**(2): 90-96.

**Singh., P. Singh., R., S. Dhaka., S. Kumar., D (2015)** Bioefficacy of insecticides and biopesticides against yellow stem borer, *Scirpophagaincertulus* (Walker) and their effect on spiders in rice crop. *South asian journal of food technology*, **1**(2):179-183

**Seni., A. (2019)** Impact of certain essential oils and insecticides against major insect pests

and natural enemies in rice. *Journal of Cereal Research*, **11**(3): 252-256

**Kumbhar, C.R. and Singh, S.P.N (2020)** Efficacy of newer insecticides against rice borer complex under North Bihar condition. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, **8**(4): 797-801.

**Yimjenjang, I. Longkumer and Misra, A. K (2020)** Efficacy of essential oils against rice insect pest in Pusa, Bihar. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, **8**(3): 1980-1983

**Singh, H. (2021)** Performance of various pesticides against Yellow stem borer, *Scirpophagincertulas* (Walker) of Basmati rice and effect on yield in Northern India research article

**Sasmal, A. Pratap and Sarangi, A. K (2018)** Bio-efficacy evaluation of some insecticides (solo and pre mixed) against major insect pests of rice. *Journal of Crop and Weed*, **14**(1): 238-244

**Chormule, A. J (2014)** Bioefficacy of new insecticide molecules against rice yellow stem borer, *Scirpophagincertulas* (walker), 0974 - 0376

**Atwal, A. S.(1976).** Agricultural Pests of India and South East Asia. Kalyani Publishers, Ludhiana, India. pp. 153-160

**Catling, H.D., Islam, Z. and Patrasudhi, R. (1987)** Assessing yield losses in deep water due to yellow stem borer, *Scirpophagincertulas* in Bangladesh and Thailand. *Crop Protection Journal*. **6**: 20-27.

**Catling, H. D. and Islam, Z. (1981).** Problem of yellow stem borer in Asam deep water rice. *Proc. International Rice Research Institute*. 451-458.

**Dhaliwal, G.S., Jindal, V. and Dhawan, A.K. (2010).** Insect pest problems and crop losses: Changing trends. *Indian Journal of Ecology*. **37**: 1-7.

**Gupta, S.P. and O'Toole, J.C. (1986).** Upland Rice. A Global Perspective. *International Rice Research Institute. Losbanos, Laguna, Philippines*. 360p.

**Hajeck, A. E. and Leger, R. J. S. (1994).** Interactions between fungal pathogens and insect host

**Hoy, M. A. and Myths. (1999),** Models and mitigation of resistance to pesticides. In: *Insecticide Resistance: From Mechanism to Management* (Denholm, I., J.A. Pickett and A.L. Devonshire, (eds.)), New York, CAB International, 111-119

**Hussain, D., Akram, M., Iqbal, Z., Ali, A. and Saleem, M. (2010).** Effect of insecticides on *Trichogramma chilonis* Ishii. (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae) immature and adults

urvival. *Journal of Agriculture Research*. 48:531-537

**Karthikeyan., K. and Purushothaman, S. M.( 2000).** Efficacy of carbosulfan against rice yellow stem borer, *Scirpophagaincertulas* Walker (Pyralidae, Lepidoptera) in rice. *Indian Journal of Plant Protection* .28(2):212-214.

**Khush., G. S.(1997).** Origin, dispersal, cultivation and variation of rice. *Plant Molecular Biology*. 35:25–34.

**Litsinger.,J.**

**A.,**

**Barrion,A.T.and Soekarna,D.(1987).** Upland rice insect pests: their ecology, importance and control. IRRI Research Paper Series Number 123. The International Rice Research Institute, Philippines, p. 41.

**Mahar., M. N., Bratti, I. M. and Dhuyo, A.R.( 1985).** Stem borer infestation and yield loss relationship in rice and cost-benefit of control. Paper presented at 5<sup>th</sup> National Seminar on rice production. April 23-25

**Moraes., J. C., Goussain, M.M., Carvalho ,G.A and Costa, R.R.(2005)** Feeding non-preference of the corn leaf aphid *Rhopalosiphum maidis* (Fitch, 1856) (Hemiptera: Aphididae) to corn plants (*Zea mays* L.) treated with silicon. *Ciencia Agrotecnol*; 29:761-766.

**Omprakash., S., Venkataiah, M. and Laxman, S. (2017)** Comparative efficacy of some new insecticides against rice yellow stem borer, *Scirpophagaincertulas* Walker under field conditions. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies* 5(5): 1126-1129.

**Pasulu., I. C., Krishnaiah, N.V., Kotti, G. and Varma, N.R.G. (2002).** IPM in rice. pp.45-55.

**Pathak., M. D. and Khan, Z.R.(1994)** *Insect pests of rice*. International Rice Research Institute, pp. 1-17. P.O box 933, 10999, Manila, Philippines.

**Preetha., G., Manoharan, T., Stanley, J. and Kuttalam, S. (2010).** Impact of chloronicotyl insecticide, imidacloprid on egg, egg-larval and larval parasitoids under laboratory conditions. *Journal of Plant Protection and Research*. 50:535-540.

**Shafiqhi., Y., Ziaee, M. and Ghosta, Y.(2014).** Diatomaceous earth used against insect pests, applied alone or in combination with *Metarhiziumanisopliae* and *Beauveria bassiana*. *Journal of Plant Protection. Research*. 54(1):62-66.

**Song, M. Y. and Brown, J. J. (1998).** Osmotic effects as a factor modifying insecticide toxicity on *Aedes* and *Artemia*. *Ecotoxicology, Environment and Safety*. 4:195-202.

**Verma, D. K. and Shukla, K. (2011)** Nutritional value of rice and their importance, *Indian Farmers' Digest*; 44-1.

UNDER PEER REVIEW