

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_103001
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of oxalic acid on control of post-harvest browning of Litchi (Litchi chinensis L.)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1. Based on the results of this experiment, it can be concluded that the combination of T8 Oxalic acid (4.0mM) and Bavistin (0.05%) was the most effective treatment for preserving the physical and physiochemical properties of litchi fruit. This suggests that this treatment could be used as a potential method for extending the shelf life of litchi fruit. Additionally, the fact that this treatment was effective both at ambient storage and refrigerator conditions indicates that it could be a versatile solution for preserving fresh produce. Further research could be conducted to optimize the treatment and investigate its potential for commercial use. 2. The title is appropriate. 3. The abstract is comprehensive. 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. The references are sufficient and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes. Corrections to several plagiarism and grammatical mistakes need to be completed.	
Optional/General comments	Relevant and important research.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raghunath Chandranauth
Department, University & Country	National Agricultural Research and Extension Institute, University of Guyana, Guyana School of Agriculture, Guyana