

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_102058
Title of the Manuscript:	Importance of Spodoptera frugiperda among Lepidoptera larvae in maize crops in Yamoussoukro (Côte d'Ivoire)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The content of the manuscript is important for scientific community. It is equally beneficial to the society at large since food is one of the basic necessities for life. The Title of the article is not suitable. I suggest 'Damage caused by Lepidopteran field pests of maize crop in Yamoussoukro (Côte d'Ivoire)' Yes it is comprehensive Yes they are appropriate Yes it is scientifically correct The references are sufficient My additional comments are in the reviewed manuscript.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the Language / English quality of the article is suitable for communication.	
Optional/General comments	See the reviewed manuscript for general and other comments	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chukwudi Michael Egbuche
Department, University & Country	Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nigeria