

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_103673
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different level of Liquid Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium on Growth, Yield and Quality of Broccoli (<i>Bassica oleraceae</i> var. <i>italica</i>) in Hydroponics
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This study is important for protected farming since environmental factors can be controlled for vegetable production any time around the year, and Broccoli is an important produce for income generation. Proper nutrition and management practices can render higher yields and income returns especially for small farmers.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes; however, about a fifteen citations in text are not listed in References section, please review this. References section, please make the list in alphabetical order.</p> <p>In Conclusion, the last sentence about Budget Analysis (B/C Ratio) is not described neither in the Abstract, Materials and Methods nor in Results.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Proofreading could be improved.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Marks in manuscripts for corrections in red to delete, green to add, and yellow to observe.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ignacio Carranza Cerda
Department, University & Country	Colegio de Postgraduados, México