

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_103613
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Net Returns from Rice Production practiced through Conventional and SRI Methods in the light of Climate Change in Bhandara District of Maharashtra
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for scientific community for it give a correlation between net income, conventional farming methods in the light of climate change which the world is experiencing now</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable, although SRI should be in full (System of Rice Intensification)</p> <p>3. The abstract is missing the element of sampling and tools used for data collection. For the key words you can do better; food security, cereals, economic impact etc.</p> <p>4. Section on pilot study should be expunged from the article for it is not adding value. See comment in the manuscript. The section on Selection of Variables and Statistical Tools can be well presented in a table form. The section on Pre-testing of Interview Schedule should also be expunged from the article. More findings given but insufficient discussion of the findings.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and are current.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The language of the article is suitable for scholarly communication	
Optional/General comments	The article needs minor corrections for it to meet the publication requirements	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maurice Manyonge Pepela
Department, University & Country	Masinde Muliro University of Science and Technology, Kenya