

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_103508
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Integrated Use of Inorganic, Organic and Biofertilizers on Growth, Yield and Quality of Pea (<i>Pisum sativum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1. Yes, manuscript is very important for scientific community. 2. Yes, the title is suitable. 3. Yes the abstract is comprehensive. 4. Subsections and structure are appropriate. 5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. Yes, references are over sufficient and recent. In most cases the word maximum could be replaced by highest, while minimum could be replaced by lowest.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Grace O. Tona
Department, University & Country	University of Technology, Nigeria