

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_102689</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of Micronutrients and Sulphur on Growth and Yield of Sesame</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>The paper presents interesting study about effect of micronutrients and sulphur on growth and yield of sesame.</p> <p>The reviewer has read carefully the paper and concluded that, the title of the article is suitable and the abstract of the article is comprehensive. In general, it is quite well written, but I suggest the author's serious revise the article, as the article has many errors that need to be removed in order to improve the document and was the manuscript important for scientific community.</p> <p>Comments for the authors: I have following observations/remarks:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Introduction</i>: It is a greater information's, the text is clear, but the references should be corresponded to the paper and be accurately cited, please add the all references in the References;</li> <li>- please add to the reference, what is DOES, 2022, and add the reference (Goomez,1976) please provide revision in text;</li> <li>- <i>Materials and methods</i> chapter should be enhanced, please add Norms for the pH, the granulometric distribution, TOC,</li> <li>- add Methods analyses total elements: zinc, boron, sulfur, potassium and phosphorus; and also statistical methods (Goomez,1976),</li> <li>- please provide revision in <i>Results and Discussion</i>:</li> <li>- please add a link to all Tables (1-3) in the text, they are not included in the text of article and move the name of the tables to the top of the table because it is confusing;</li> <li>- please add discussion to <i>Discussion (Economics)</i> in the text and the <i>Conclusion</i> needs to be revised and expanded.</li> <li>- English quality of the article is good and suitable for scholarly communications.</li> </ul> <p>The reviewer recommends publication after these serious major revisions and I hope it will be a good scientific paper!</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Oľga Šestinová</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institute of Geotechnics, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Slovakia</b>