

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_102264</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Stream flow trends analysis and its linkages with meteorological and anthropogenic factors in Ramganga River basin in North India</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. It is very important because water is a natural resource upon which humanity depends on for multi-purpose activities. A reduction in the quantity and quality of such precious liquid is a call for concern which has been addressed.</p> <p>2. Assessing meteorological and anthropogenic factors on stream flow trends in Ramganga River basin in North India</p> <p>3. It is but I feel that you should mention your sample size and target population</p> <p>4. The methodology used is good but some aspects were not handled</p> <p>5. It is correct but for the fact that the style of referencing is not appropriate if the author is using the recent version of APA style of referencing</p> <p>6. -Trends and variability in stream flow across the western United States by Luce et al. (2020), published in the journal Hydrology and Earth System Sciences.</p> <p>-Assessing trends in stream flow and precipitation in the Susquehanna River Basin, USA by Shukla et al. (2021), published in the journal Hydrological Sciences Journal</p> <p>-Assessing stream flow trends and their potential impacts on water resources management in the Upper Indus Basin by Ahmad et al. (2021), published in the journal Water.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	It is except for little punctuation errors and some hanging statements.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The work is good but for the minor errors u made. Please it is advisable to always number your sub titles. For example 1. 2. 3. Etc. this depends on each journals policy though. Maybe this does not apply with yours. Secondly, when presenting your results, don't use words like may, might because such words implies you are still doubting your findings. Present them with facts and figures.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ngambong Ngwafu Tita Blandine
Department, University & Country	University Of Buea, Cameroon