

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJBCRR_102946 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Changes in biochemical and hematological indices in the kidney of female Wistar rats following the administration of graded doses of ethanolic extract of Pausinystalia yohimbe stem bark |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalijbcr.com/index.php/IJBCRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>The manuscript included testing the effect of the plant extract on the kidneys of rats by measuring the hematological, biochemical and histological variables.</p> <p>The title is not suitable for article</p> <p>The abstract included the research theory, its aim, method of work, the most important results and conclusion in an understandable way.</p> <p>Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>I think the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>The references are sufficient and recent.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>title Changes into 'Biochemical, hematological and histological study in the kidney of female rats treated with ethanolic extract of Pausinystalia yohimbe stem bark'</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Name: | Ahmed Sami Farhan |
| Department, University & Country | University of Anbar, Iraq |