

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_102553
Title of the Manuscript:	A STUDY ON MARKETING OF SELECTIVE HERBICIDE IN THE KURUKSHETRA DISTRICT OF HARYANA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only based on '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalapj.com/index.php/APRJ/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with the Reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not, please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, manuscripts are significant to the scientific community.</p> <p>The article's title is good (13 words).</p> <p>The abstract is good (224 words). Maximum abstract of 250 words, it is recommended to add research objectives. The keywords are good (3 words). Maximum keywords are 5 words, it is recommended to add 2 more important words</p> <p>The article's structure is reasonable. However, it is recommended that reference citations use Mendeley IEEE or APA. Introduction recommended to add 3-5 references. Research and Methodology recommended to add 1-2 references to each subchapter. Discussion recommended to add 1-2 references to each subchapter</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>References are good. Sufficient and up-to-date references and recommended that reference citations use Mendeley IEEE or APA and a maximum of 20 years of reference. a minimum of 15 references to international journals</p> <p>It is suggested to add references, as an example:</p> <p>Tripathi, R., & Barker, N. (2023). Study on Brand Promotion of Pre-emergence Herbicide in Kurukshetra District of State Haryana, India. <i>Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology</i>, 41(8), 194-197.</p> <p>Barker, N. (2023). Study of Effective Promotional Tools for Enhancing the Use of Pre-Emergence Herbicide in Paddy Crop. <i>Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology</i>, 41(8), 52-56.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of the article's English is suitable for scientific communication, but it is recommended to use grammarly.com</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Endang Noerhartati
Department, University & Country	Universitas Wijaya Kusuma Surabaya, Indonesia