

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Gastroenterology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRGA_102791
Title of the Manuscript:	A Rare case report of HEPATIC VENOUS OUTFLOW TRACT OBSTRUCTION in patient with ULCERATIVE COLITIS
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrrga.com/index.php/AJRRGA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, IBD is a chronic inflammatory disease that affect quality of life and is increasing in incidence. We should pay attention forward early diagnosis and assessment of complications.</p> <p>The title is suitable and interesting.</p> <p>I found it very informative and comprehensive.</p> <p>Yes, they are appropriate.</p> <p>Yes, it is scientifically correct.</p> <p>References is updated and all checked on PubMed database.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language is sound and suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>There are few added comments and spelling and grammatic mistake that were highlighted and added to the original manuscript page for consideration.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nahla Ahmed Khalaf
Department, University & Country	Tanta University, Egypt