

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_102809
Title of the Manuscript:	Use of Pulse Dose Methylprednisolone in a Cohort of very Severe Covid-19 Patients in a resource-limited setting in Myanmar: a retrospective study
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, it is very important,</p> <p>The title does not yet describe the research, because the research subject has not covered the research data needs and has not been described in the title of this article.</p> <p>USE THE FOLLOWING STEPS IN DEVELOPING AN IDEAL ABSTRACT JOURNAL PERPUTATION (Glasman, 2010; EMERALD, 2020; Wibirama, 2019)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. General Problem in Your Field 2. Specific Problem (Research Gap) 3. Your Proposed Solution/Proposed Method 4. Key Results/Key Findings 5. Brief Discussion and Implications. <p>Some statements should be accompanied by references so authors should try to back up their claims with references.</p> <p>Please describe the impact of your research on challenging the students and the teachers, especially for future research.</p> <p>The whole literature review needs improvement. Currently it lack focus and does not present a review of related research to identify what have been studied and what should be researched, etc. Authors should provide a clear review of research on assessment during COVID-19.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English quality is very low and must use the native speaker translator</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Irwan Fathurrochman
Department, University & Country	Indonesia