

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Scientific Research and Reports |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JSRR_103485 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | An Assessment of the Relationship between Cultural Diversity and Disaster Preparedness |
| Type of the Article | Opinion Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>1. The manuscript is focusing on the assessing the relationship between cultural diversity and disaster preparedness theoretically.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is apt as it covers the variables as discussed in the content.</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract is comprehensive and does cover all the essential information of the article in brief.</p> <p>4. Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate as per the descriptive content.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is descriptive in nature and tried to build information about the cultural diversity and disaster management.</p> <p>6. Yes, the references are apt.</p> <p>7. The article was purely a theoretical description of cultural diversity and disaster preparedness, already a lot of information regarding the both concepts is available, so, if the article is reframed keeping in mind the same and new perspectives or dimensions are added then the article will become more informative, relevant and appropriate research article.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>Yes, the language used for the article is simple and apt as per the descriptive framework.</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>NA</p> | |

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|------------------|
| Name: | Meenakshi |
| Department, University & Country | India |