

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_101306
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF ANTI-MICROBIAL ACTIVITY OF TAMARIND ( <i>Tamarindus indica</i> ) EXTRACTS COLLECTED IN SEMI-ARID EASTERN PARTS OF KENYA AGAINST BACTERIA PATHOGENS.
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes. It is an interesting study.</b></p> <p><b>Yes. However, this is my suggested title;</b> ANTI-MICROBIAL ACTIVITY OF <i>Tamarindus indica</i> FROM SEMI-ARID EASTERN PARTS OF KENYA</p> <p><b>Yes.</b></p> <p>No.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>No.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>There were a lot of errors in the presentation. The methodology was not clear. The results were poorly arranged; results were duplicated for tables and figures. Many references were not cited at the reference section.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Babalola Sunday Aponjolosun</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ajayi Crowther University, Nigeria</b>