

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Plant & Soil Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJPSS_103118 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | District Agro Meteorological advisory services in Agriculture, Horticulture and its allied sectors in NTR District of semi arid region of South coastal Andhra Pradesh |
| Type of the Article | Review Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? Yes, the manuscript is very important as it will contribute to academic research.</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? Yes, it is suitable for the article</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Yes, the abstract covers the entire theme of the submitted work.</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? No, I believe it is necessary to put some sections regarding statistics in the article</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Yes, the article is scientifically correct, as it paves the way for a new reality of the agricultural question in the world.</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. No, I believe it is necessary to see new references in order to increase the potential and scientific richness of the work.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> <p>A suggestion to the authors is to add more depth to the article's statistics, as this will raise the level of the article for the academic community.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>The language quality is adequate for the article without major problems.</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Givanildo de Gois |
| Department, University & Country | Federal University of Acre, Campus Cruzeiro do Sul, Brazil |