

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>   |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_IJPSS_102471</b>   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Influence of sources of sulphur and zinc on growth and yield of Sesame (Sesamum indicum L.)</b> |
| Type of the Article      |  |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments<br><br>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)<br><br>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)<br><br>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b><br><br>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b><br><br>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b><br><br>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b><br><br><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b> | <p><b>Yes. The manuscript has important for science community. The paper has finding that can be use as a recommendation of the use combination of sulphur and zinc to increase the yield of sesame. However the manuscript need some revisions to give more clear and detail explanation about the problem statement, methodology and the result that could be discussed.</b></p> <p><b>The title of the article is already suitable and no need to change</b></p> <p><b>The abstract of the article is comprehensive but need to be rewritten by adding the aim of the research</b><br/>           The subsection and structure of the manuscript is appropriate. However some revisions are needed. I put my revision and suggestion directly to the manuscript as a comment. Please check in the manuscript</p> <p>Please add more references especially in the intorduction and discussion and please use recent reference within 10 years.</p> |   |
| <b>Minor REVISION</b> comments<br><br>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>   | The english quaility is suitable enough for scholarly communications   |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  | ( The paper need major revision, especially in introduction and discussion). I suggest the author to give more clear and detail explanation about the problem statement, methodology, result and the discussion)   |   |

### PART 2:

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                  |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Tantri Dyah Ayu Anggraeni</b> |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Indonesia</b>                 |