

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_102427
Title of the Manuscript:	Screening of rainfed rice genotype based on their mechanism of adaptation under excess iron stress in hydroponic culture
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. I believe that the work has a relevant perception on the subject, but it is still incomplete for publication.</p> <p>2. Yes, is suitable.</p> <p>3. Data is missing and does not bring answers to the proposed objective</p> <p>4. Yes, are appropriate.</p> <p>5. Yes, is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. Yes, are the sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>In the abstract the author states that rice is produced in 128 million hectares. But where? in the world? who is the biggest producer?</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The introduction is very superficial. Should it present the impact of this research? How can the results found contribute to rice producers?</p> <p>The material and method part is interesting, well written and understandable, but the conclusion is weak. They could show the reader the relevance of such genotypes in economic and productive terms.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Diane Aparecida Ostroski
Department, University & Country	Federal Technological University of Paraná, Brazil