

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_103260</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of Dietary Supplementation of Giloy (Tinospora Cordifolia) Herb and Ascorbic acid along with Different Bedding Materials on Age at Sexual Maturity and Weight at Sexual Maturity of Japanese Quail During Laying Period</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, the information provided in the manuscript will be useful to the quail breeders as feed supplemented with giloy and ascorbic acid reduced the age at sexual maturity.</p> <p>Yes, it is self-explanatory.</p> <p>Yes. It is short and covers the Zeist of the manuscript.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is having sections and subsections at appropriate places.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes, references are recent, but there should be one pattern while writing the references. Also, many references are very old , it should not be more than 10 year old.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>There some punctuation, spelling and grammatical errors throughout the manuscript, which I have highlighted at certain places in the manuscript</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nancy Sheoran</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Lala Lajpat Rai University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences (LUVAS), India</b>