

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_102615
Title of the Manuscript:	"Influence of Molybdenum and Foliar application of Boron on Yield and Economics of Cowpea ( <i>Vigna unguiculata</i> )"
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In my view, the manuscript deals with an important subject as cowpea (<i>Vigna unguiculata</i>) is one of the most significant pulse crops.</li> <li>In my opinion, the title of the article is suitable.</li> <li>The abstract is comprehensive.</li> <li>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. I suggest including a few more "discussion" sentences.</li> <li>The manuscript is scientifically correct.</li> <li>I recommend including more recent references (if it's possible) complementary to the already existing ones.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	The study is well-written using the English language properly. I suggest revising the article and correcting a few grammatical and syntax errors.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The issue of the study is very intriguing including detailed information such as the description of soil consistency. - The research is well organized including replicates and checking three doses of Molybdenum.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anthoula Gleridou</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece</b>