

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_102523
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance of different varieties of cowpea (<i>Vigna unguiculata</i> L. Walp.) for growth, yield and quality in Prayagraj Agro climatic condition.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Yes Growth, yield and quality of cowpea (<i>Vigna unguiculata</i> L. Walp.) varieties in Prayagraj Agro climatic condition. No Yes No, the analyses were inadequate to reach the conclusions here posited. The methodology is very scanty. ONE SEASON STUDY IS TOO SHORT FOR SERIOUS RECOMMENDATION Yes but could be updated and a wider search would enrich the study	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The use of English is grossly low for scientific writing.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Macauley Asim Ittah
Department, University & Country	University of Calabar, Nigeria