

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Blood Research &amp; Reviews</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IBRR_102566</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Monocyte monolayer assay validation in Brazilian blood donors</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalibrr.com/index.php/IBRR/editorial-policy>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>yes</b></p> <p>2. <b>Suggest the title : Statistic analysis of Monocyte monolayer assay validation in Brazilian blood donors</b></p> <p>3. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>4. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>5. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>6. <b>decent</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Improve the language</p> <p>Spelling and punctuation must be corrected</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b>comments</p>	<p>1. It is preferable not to write abbreviated symbols in the summary, such as MMA.</p> <p>2. Did the researcher use simple regression analysis (one focus and one level) or multiple .regression analysis (one focus and several levels )?</p> <p>3. the regression equation is not found in the research.</p> <p>4. numbering error for the discussion paragraph.</p> <p>5. A flowchart is preferred, to illustrate the research methodology.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hadeel Ismail Mustafa</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Basrah, Iraq</b>