

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_103607
Title of the Manuscript:	Adverse Events following COVID-19 vaccination in Anambra State, South East Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, knowing the opinions and health status of people regarding the effects of vaccination in different communities is very important to face the next epidemics, which will ultimately lead to the knowledge of useful and fast prevention methods that can be accepted in the communities. Of course, the subject of this article examines the short-term effects during vaccination, which have been mentioned in many articles (even in the reference articles of this study).</p> <p>2. Yes, the title of the article is comprehensive and appropriate.</p> <p>3. Yes. In the abstract, a useful summary of the introduction, the percentage of the statistical population, and the results and discussion are included.</p> <p>4. Yes, the structure of the article is appropriate. It is better to separate the description of statistics in clearer tables.</p> <p>5. This article is based on a questionnaire and a descriptive survey, which is accompanied by scientific reports on adverse events during vaccination in many countries.</p> <p>6. Yes, the references in the topic of adverse events after vaccination are new and sufficient</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hamed Babae
Department, University & Country	Payame Noor University, Iran