

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_102773
Title of the Manuscript:	MAJOR COMPONENT FOR THE ISOLATION AND IDENTIFICATION OF MICRO-ORGANISMS FOUND IN TOMATO SOIL AT AKWATA GARRIKI
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>It is important addition to the scientific community.</p> <p>It is matching title.</p> <p>That is comprehensive and informative .</p> <p>The subsections and structures is will detailed and informative .but the references is not organised by numbering and by need to be arranged by numerical as the research roll.</p> <p>The manuscript is clear scientifically an clear bases and I like the way it is writing by .</p> <p>The reference need arrangement by number numerical in the paper and by amendment in the text.</p> <p>Advice to the scientific team of the manuscript to arrange the reference you have done good research and more tiredness to arrange that and it is a must .</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Accepted and clear	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Advice to the scientific team of the manuscript to arrange the reference you have done good research and more tiredness to arrange that and it is a must .	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Emad Aladawy
Department, University & Country	S.I. Georgiesky of Crimea Federal University, Netherland