

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_102773
Title of the Manuscript:	MAJOR COMPONENT FOR THE ISOLATION AND IDENTIFICATION OF MICRO-ORGANISMS FOUND IN TOMATO SOIL AT AKWATA GARRIKI
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1-The manuscript is of local scientific interest. In the work, the microbiological composition of marshy water (wetlands) of tomato soil in the vicinity of a tomato market was investigated.</p> <p>2- It is suggested to change the title of the manuscript. That the title be clearer and more consistent with the work and results. Suggestion: Main microorganisms isolated from AKWATA GARRIKI tomato soil.</p> <p>3-Yes.</p> <p>4-Yes.</p> <p>5- For the most part the work is scientifically correct. A technical question for the authors: How did the authors get 1 mL to sorb correctly on an agar plate? The surface plating technique is standardized to 0.1 mL because 1 mL does not sorb to the plate. The 1 ml aliquot is used in pour plate seeding.</p> <p>6- The bibliographical references are not very current. Authors should include more current references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1-Authors should take care of grammatical punctuation, spacing, and other errors marked in yellow in the text.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The abbreviation of species spp is not in italics. Remove the italics in all parts of the text that cite the abbreviation spp. -Where it says 30 see it should say 30 sec. -Where it says 15 min, it should say 15 min. -Where does it say positive reaction, did the authors mean positive reaction? -Check the magnification X10, X100 or X40 if not: X100, X1000, X400. -Improve the presentation of Table 2. -Bacterial genera and species should be written in italics. Put italics in: Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas sp., Klebsiella sp. and Salmonella sp. And remove the italics in sp. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Claudia Maricel Mattana
Department, University & Country	University National of San Luis, Argentina