

# Impact of pre and post emergence herbicide application on soil microbial population assessment in direct wet seeded rice

## Abstract

Direct wet seeded rice is eminent due to low energy and cost required for the cultivation. The only disadvantage is difficulty to manage weeds due to which farmers rely mostly on herbicides for the effective management. Herbicides are bioactive compounds which will affect the soil microflora by its ecotoxicological behaviour. Hence, in this study herbicides such as pendimethalin, pretilachlor + pyrazosulfuron ethyl (tank mix) as pre-emergence and bispyribac sodium + ethoxy sulfuron (tank mix), penoxsulam + cyhalofop butyl (ready mix), trifamone + ethoxy sulfuron (ready mix), bispyribac sodium as post emergence herbicides in their regular dosage were evaluated for their impact on soil microbial population viz; bacteria, fungi and actinomycetes. The results revealed that these herbicides affected the microbial population during the initial days but later the population was regained at 55 DAS which indicates the reduction in toxicity level of the applied herbicides.

**Keywords:** pre- emergence herbicides, post- emergence herbicides, direct wet seeded rice, microbial count, herbicidal degradation

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In India, direct seeded rice is gaining popularity due to its less consumption of energy, early maturity, less methane emission, improved soil health, faster and easier planting (Kumar and Ladha, 2011). The major disadvantage of this system is difficulty to maintain weeds which makes herbicide application necessary (Abd El- Naby *et al.*, 2017).

Herbicide application leaves a large portion of the residual chemicals in the top layer of the soil (0-15 cm), where most of the microbial activity takes place (Alexander, 1977). According to Das and Debnath (2006), the accumulation of chemicals in the soil might affect the soil microbial population. Since microbes are scavengers or heterotrophs in soil, which decomposes herbicides and derive energy, carbon, and other nutrients from the soil for efficient metabolism and vigorous growth. This increases biomass of microbes and transforms the plant nutrients in soil (Nongthombam *et al.*, 2009).

On the other hand, some research evidences exhibit that herbicides show stimulating effect on growth and metabolism of non-symbiotic nitrogen fixing bacteria (Das *et al.*, 2012) and phosphate solubilizing microbes (Das and Debnath, 2006). At this juncture, this study is necessary to identify whether herbicide application suppress or enhance/ stimulate the soil microbial population.

To determine the impact of herbicide application on soil microflora, it is imperative to know the count of microbial population at different time of application period. The objective of

the present study is to investigate the changes in microbial population by the effect of two pre-emergence herbicides pendimethalin and tank combination of pretilachlor + pyrazosulfuron and the effect of post emergence herbicides such tank mix (bispyribac sodium + ethoxy sulfuron), ready mix (penoxsulam + cyhalofop butyl), ready mix (triafamone + ethoxysulfuron), bispyribac sodium. These treatments were compared with the non herbicidal treated plots such as hand weeded plot, weed free plot and weedy check.

## 2. Materials and methods

Field experiment has been conducted at wetland farm, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore during Summer, 2022, to study the efficient herbicidal treatment in wet direct seeded rice. The experiment comprised of 10 weed control treatments viz; pendimethalin 1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at 3 DAS *fb* tank mix of bispyribac sodium 25 g ha<sup>-1</sup> + Ethoxy sulfuron 18g ha<sup>-1</sup> on 20 DAS (T<sub>1</sub>); Pendimethalin 1kg ha<sup>-1</sup> on 3 DAS *fb* Penoxulam + Cyhalofop butyl 135 g ha<sup>-1</sup> on 20 DAS (Ready mix) (T<sub>2</sub>); Pendimethalin 1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> on 3 DAS *fb* Triafamone + Ethoxy sulfuron 66.5 g ha<sup>-1</sup> on 20 DAS (Ready mix) (T<sub>3</sub>); Pretilachlor 600 g ha<sup>-1</sup> + Pyrazosulfuron ethyl 15 g ha<sup>-1</sup> on 3 DAS (Tank mix) + HW on 40-45 DAS (T<sub>4</sub>); Pendimethalin 1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> on 3 DAS + HW on 40-45 DAS (T<sub>5</sub>); Pendimethalin 1kg ha<sup>-1</sup> on 3 DAS *fb* Bispyribac sodium 25 g ha<sup>-1</sup> on 25 DAS + HW on 45 DAS (T<sub>6</sub>); Triafamone + Ethoxy sulfuron 66.5 g ha<sup>-1</sup> on 20 DAS (Ready mix) + HW on 40-45 DAS (T<sub>7</sub>); HW twice (20 and 40 DAS) (T<sub>8</sub>); Weed free control (T<sub>9</sub>); Weedy check (Unweeded control) (T<sub>10</sub>). Microbial population count of bacteria, fungi and actinomycetes was done at 15 DAS, 35 DAS and 55 DAS.

The microbial count was determined by the serial dilution and pour plating method. Bacterial population was estimated by nutrient agar method (Kawser, 2016), fungal population was cultured on rose bengal agar (Martin, 1950), actinomycetes population was enumerated using Kenknight culture media (Dinesh *et al.*, 2017). The composition of media is given in Annexure 1. After incubation period, the colonies were counted and the number of viable bacteria, fungi and actinobacteria [expressed as colony forming units (cfu)] per gram dry weight of soil was estimated by considering the soil dilutions.

**Annexure 1: Composition of culture media**

S.No.	Culture name	Composition
1.	Nutrient agar medium	1 g glucose 3 g beef extract 5 g peptone 20 g agar 5 g NaCl 1000 mL distilled water pH adjusted to 7
2.	Rose Bengal medium	10 g glucose 5 g peptone 1 g KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> 0.05 g MgSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O 0.033 g rose bengal Streptomycin 30 mg 15 g agar 1000 mL distilled water pH adjusted to 5.5
3.	Kenknight culture media	1 g dextrose 0.1 g KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> 0.1 g NaNO <sub>3</sub> 0.1 g KCl 15 g agar 1000 mL distilled water pH adjusted to 7.0-7.2

**3. Results and discussion**

After the application of pre- emergence herbicides (pendimethalin and tank mix of pretilachlor + pyrazosulfuron ethyl) the bacterial count decreased drastically as compared to

non herbicide applied plots at 15 DAS. The bacterial count in pendimethalin applied plots recorded  $1.25 \times 10^5$  cfu/g of soil and for pretilachlor + pyrazosulfuron ethyl with  $1.26 \times 10^5$  cfu. Similarly, for fungi and actinomycetes the count decreased which coincides with the findings of Latha and Gopal (2010), where bacterial population decreased after 15 days of herbicide application and fungal and actinomycetes population was also less than the control. But, after the application of post emergence herbicides at 35 DAS there was a slight increase in the fungal population. The bacterial and actinomycetes count was decreased in pendimethalin *fb* tank mix of bispyribac sodium + ethoxy sulfuron, the fungal population of pretilachlor + pyrazosulfuron ethyl regained population after 20 days of herbicide application which is supported by Simerjeet *et al.* (2014), that pyrazosulfuron ethyl recovered the population of fungi at 30 DAS. After the application of post emergence herbicides at 35 DAS there was decrease in the microbial population and then at 55 DAS it was found that bacterial and actinomycetes population (Table 1,2) of all the treatments regained treatment Pendimethalin  $1 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  *fb* Bispyribac sodium  $25 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$  + Ethoxy sulfuron  $18 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$  (tank mix) [T<sub>1</sub>], Pretilachlor  $600 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$  + Pyrazosulfuron ethyl  $15 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$  on 3 DAS (Tank mix) + HW on 40-45 DAS [T<sub>4</sub>], Pendimethalin  $1 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  on 3 DAS + HW on 40-45 DAS [T<sub>5</sub>], Pendimethalin  $1 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  on 3 DAS *fb* Bispyribac sodium  $25 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$  on 25 DAS + HW on 45 DAS [T<sub>6</sub>], Triafamone + Ethoxy sulfuron  $66.5 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$  on 20 DAS (Ready mix) + HW on 40-45 DAS [T<sub>7</sub>], but the fungal population decreased (Table 2). This was in confirmation with the findings of Sourav *et al.* (2014) who reported that bacterial and actinomycetes count proliferates with increase in oxidizable organic C as these organisms participates in herbicide utilization and degradation as compared to the fungal propagules.

Similarly, the bacterial, fungal and actinomycetes count was lower for pendimethalin *fb* cyhalofop butyl + penoxsulam (pre mix) initially, but the count was regained after 55 DAS (Table 1, 2, 3) which coincide with the findings of Ramalakshmi *et al.* (2017) that herbicides have some toxic effect just after the application but afterwards these microbes participate in degradation process by which degraded organic herbicides provide carbon rich substrates to increase the microbial population in soil and is greater than the control.

Triafamone + Ethoxy sulfuron  $66.5 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$  on 20 DAS (Ready mix) + HW on 40-45 DAS [T<sub>7</sub>] shows decrease in bacterial, fungal and actinomycetes count after 15 days of post emergence herbicides application but after 55 DAS it regained its population and was on par with the control. This is in confirmation with the findings of Gnanchitra *et al.* (2018) who reported that growth of rhizobium population was affected initially due to the residual impact of imidacloprid but after that it attained normal growth rate.

#### **4. Conclusion**

There will be a gradual regaining of the soil microbial population at the later stages of the crop growth due to herbicide application. Initially the count will be lower as the microbes will face the adverse effect of herbicides but substantially the population has been increased due to co metabolization of herbicides which is retained in soil as oxidisable carbon (Sourav *et al.*, 2014). Hence, it could be concluded that, in general the bacterial and actinomycetes population gets decreased due to herbicide application but when the toxicity level of the herbicides applied reduces the population slowly starts increasing, whereas the fungal population increases during herbicide degradation and later it decreases. Therefore, the toxicity of herbicides affects all the microbial population at the initial stage. Later at the reduction in the toxicity level the microbial population slightly increases due to the available carbon obtained after degradation.

**Table 1: Effect of pre and post emergence herbicides on bacterial population in direct wet seeded rice**

Treatments		Bacterial count X 10 <sup>5</sup> cfu		
		15 DAS	35 DAS	55 DAS
T <sub>1</sub>	Pendimethalin 1kg ha <sup>-1</sup> on 3 DAS <i>fb</i> Bispyribac sodium 25g ha <sup>-1</sup> + Ethoxy sulfuron 18g ha <sup>-1</sup> on 20 DAS (Tank mix)	17.8 (1.25)	12.5 (1.10)	25.5 (1.41)
T <sub>2</sub>	Pendimethalin 1kg ha <sup>-1</sup> on 3 DAS <i>fb</i> Penoxulam + Cyhalofop butyl 135g ha <sup>-1</sup> on 20 DAS (Ready mix)	17.3 (1.23)	11.6 (1.06)	29.5 (1.47)
T <sub>3</sub>	Pendimethalin 1 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> on 3 DAS <i>fb</i> Triafamone + Ethoxy sulfuron 66.5g ha <sup>-1</sup> on 20 DAS (Ready mix)	16.3 (1.21)	10.5 (1.02)	27.3 (1.44)
T <sub>4</sub>	Pretilachlor 600g ha <sup>-1</sup> + Pyrazosulfuron ethyl 15g ha <sup>-1</sup> on 3 DAS (Tank mix) + HW on 40-45 DAS	18.4 (1.26)	25.1 (1.40)	37.7 (1.58)
T <sub>5</sub>	Pendimethalin 1 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> on 3 DAS + HW on 40-45 DAS	16.5 (1.21)	26.5 (1.42)	35.2 (1.55)
T <sub>6</sub>	Pendimethalin 1kg ha <sup>-1</sup> on 3 DAS <i>fb</i> Bispyribac sodium 25g ha <sup>-1</sup> on 25 DAS + HW on 45 DAS	16.9 (1.22)	13.4 (1.13)	33.5 (1.53)
T <sub>7</sub>	Triafamone + Ethoxy sulfuron 66.5g ha <sup>-1</sup> on 20 DAS (Ready mix) + HW on 40-45 DAS	34.1 (1.53)	18.4 (1.26)	36.4 (1.56)
T <sub>8</sub>	HW twice (20 and 40 DAS)	34.2 (1.53)	34.9 (1.54)	37.4 (1.57)
T <sub>9</sub>	Weed free control	33.9 (1.53)	35.9 (1.55)	35.3 (1.55)
T <sub>10</sub>	Weedy check (Unweeded control)	34.3 (1.53)	35.3 (1.55)	36.5 (1.56)
<b>C.D</b>		0.018	0.015	0.014
<b>S.E</b>		0.008	0.007	0.007

(Values in parentheses are log<sub>10</sub> transformed)

**Table 2: Effect of pre and post emergence herbicides on fungal population in direct wet seeded rice**

Treatments		Fungal count X 10 <sup>4</sup> cfu		
		15 DAS	35 DAS	55 DAS
T <sub>1</sub>	Pendimethalin 1kg ha <sup>-1</sup> on 3 DAS <i>fb</i> Bispyribac sodium 25g ha <sup>-1</sup> + Ethoxy sulfuron 18g ha <sup>-1</sup> on 20 DAS (Tank mix)	16.67 (1.26)	45.67 (1.66)	21.13 (1.32)
T <sub>2</sub>	Pendimethalin 1kg ha <sup>-1</sup> on 3 DAS <i>fb</i> Penoxulam + Cyhalofop butyl 135g ha <sup>-1</sup> on 20 DAS (Ready mix)	17.00 (1.24)	36.00 (1.54)	21.30 (1.33)
T <sub>3</sub>	Pendimethalin 1 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> on 3 DAS <i>fb</i> Triafamone + Ethoxy sulfuron 66.5g ha <sup>-1</sup> on 20 DAS (Ready mix)	16.70 (1.25)	37.23 (1.57)	19.87 (1.30)
T <sub>4</sub>	Pretilachlor 600g ha <sup>-1</sup> + Pyrazosulfuron ethyl 15g ha <sup>-1</sup> on 3 DAS (Tank mix) + HW on 40-45 DAS	18.50 (1.22)	52.09 (1.73)	27.17 (1.43)
T <sub>5</sub>	Pendimethalin 1 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> on 3 DAS + HW on 40-45 DAS	17.10 (1.26)	49.20 (1.69)	26.67 (1.42)
T <sub>6</sub>	Pendimethalin 1kg ha <sup>-1</sup> on 3 DAS <i>fb</i> Bispyribac sodium 25g ha <sup>-1</sup> on 25 DAS + HW on 45 DAS	17.80 (1.26)	44.60 (1.65)	20.47 (1.31)
T <sub>7</sub>	Triafamone + Ethoxy sulfuron 66.5g ha <sup>-1</sup> on 20 DAS (Ready mix) + HW on 40-45 DAS	56.97 (1.76)	22.43 (1.35)	46.03 (1.66)
T <sub>8</sub>	HW twice (20 and 40 DAS)	56.37 (1.75)	56.23 (1.46)	58.87 (1.44)
T <sub>9</sub>	Weed free control	56.17 (1.75)	57.00 (1.75)	58.00 (1.77)
T <sub>10</sub>	Weedy check (Unweeded control)	56.83 (1.76)	57.13 (1.77)	57.93 (1.77)
<b>C.D</b>		0.035	0.028	0.043
<b>S.E</b>		0.017	0.013	0.020

(Values in parentheses are log<sub>10</sub> transformed)

**Table 3: Effect of pre and post emergence herbicides on actinomycetes population in direct wet seeded rice**

Treatments		Actinomycetes count X 10 <sup>3</sup>		
		cfu		
		15 DAS	35 DAS	55 DAS
T <sub>1</sub>	Pendimethalin 1kg ha <sup>-1</sup> on 3 DAS <i>fb</i> Bispyribac sodium 25g ha <sup>-1</sup> + Ethoxy sulfuron 18g ha <sup>-1</sup> on 20 DAS (Tank mix)	12.33 (1.09)	7.35 (0.86)	14.23 (1.15)
T <sub>2</sub>	Pendimethalin 1kg ha <sup>-1</sup> on 3 DAS <i>fb</i> Penoxulam + Cyhalofop butyl 135g ha <sup>-1</sup> on 20 DAS (Ready mix)	12.43 (1.09)	7.43 (0.87)	14.10 (1.15)
T <sub>3</sub>	Pendimethalin 1 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> on 3 DAS <i>fb</i> Triafamone + Ethoxy sulfuron 66.5g ha <sup>-1</sup> on 20 DAS (Ready mix)	12.43 (1.09)	6.65 (0.82)	13.63 (1.13)
T <sub>4</sub>	Pretilachlor 600g ha <sup>-1</sup> + Pyrazosulfuron ethyl 15g ha <sup>-1</sup> on 3 DAS (Tank mix) + HW on 40-45 DAS	13.27 (1.12)	7.93 (0.90)	14.67 (1.16)
T <sub>5</sub>	Pendimethalin 1 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> on 3 DAS + HW on 40-45 DAS	12.33 (1.09)	10.33 (1.01)	14.43 (1.15)
T <sub>6</sub>	Pendimethalin 1kg ha <sup>-1</sup> on 3 DAS <i>fb</i> Bispyribac sodium 25g ha <sup>-1</sup> on 25 DAS + HW on 45 DAS	12.50 (1.09)	6.93 (0.84)	13.87 (1.14)
T <sub>7</sub>	Triafamone + Ethoxy sulfuron 66.5g ha <sup>-1</sup> on 20 DAS (Ready mix) + HW on 40-45 DAS	15.60 (1.19)	6.97 (0.84)	13.97 (1.14)
T <sub>8</sub>	HW twice (20 and 40 DAS)	15.27 (1.18)	15.00 (1.17)	15.50 (1.19)
T <sub>9</sub>	Weed free control	15.33 (1.18)	15.43 (1.19)	15.47 (1.19)
T <sub>10</sub>	Weedy check (Unweeded control)	15.33 (1.18)	14.93 (1.17)	15.50 (1.19)
<b>C.D</b>		0.018	0.028	0.017
<b>S.E</b>		0.008	0.013	0.008

(Values in parentheses are log<sub>10</sub> transformed)

## 5. References:

- Abd El-Naby, S. S. M., Abou El-Darag, I. H. and ElGhandor, A. M. A. (2017). Weed management in broadcast-seeded hybrid rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *J. Plant Production, Mansoura Univ.*, 8 (10): 1021 – 1028
- Alexander, M. (1977). *Introduction to Soil Microbiology*. 2nd Edition, John Wiley Eastern Limited, New Delhi
- Das, A. C., & Debnath, A. (2006). Effect of systemic herbicides on N<sub>2</sub>-fixing and phosphate solubilizing microorganisms in relation to availability of nitrogen and phosphorus in paddy soils of West Bengal. *Chemosphere*, 65, 1082–1086
- Das, A. C., Nayek, H., & Nongthombam, S. D. (2012). Effect of pendimethalin and quizalofop on N<sub>2</sub>-fixing bacteria in relation to availability of nitrogen in a Typic Haplustept soil of West Bengal, India. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 184, 1985–1989
- Dinesh Kumar, GP Upadhyay, Anil Dutt and KG Bhutia, (2017). Assessment of soil biological properties under different land uses in barog-dhillon watershed in solan district of Himachal Pradesh, *International Journal of Chemical Studies* 2017; 5(4): 221-224
- Gnanachitra, M., V.K. Satya and Akiladevi, P. (2018). In vitro Estimation on the Effect of Imidacloprid on the Growth and Multiplication Rate of Rhizobium for a Strategic-Ecofriendly Seed Treatment in Pulse. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* 7(04): 3705-3710.
- Kumar V and Ladha JK. (2011). Direct-seeding of rice: recent developments and future research needs. *Advances in Agronomy* 111: 297-413
- Latha P.C. and Gopal H. (2010). Effect of Herbicides on Soil Microorganisms. *Indian Journal of Weed Sci.* 42 (3 & 4): 217-222
- Martin JP. (1950). Use of acid, rose Bengal, and streptomycin in the plate method for estimating soil fungi. *Soil Science* 69(3): 215-232
- Nongthombam, S. D., Nayek, H., & Das, A. C. (2009). Effect of anilofos and pendimethalin on the mineralization of carbon and nitrogen in a Haplustept of West Bengal. *Journal of Crop and Weed*, 5, 209–215
- Ramalakshmi A., Murali Arthanari P. and Chinnusamy C. (2017). Effect of Pyrasosulfuron Ethyl, Bensulfuron Methyl, Pretilachlor and Bispyribac Sodium on Soil Microbial Community and Soil Enzymes under Rice-Rice Cropping System. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science* 6(12): 990-998
- Sarkar M. A. Kawsar, Samia S. B. S. Nishat, Mohammad A. Manchur and Yasuhiro Ozeki

(2016). Benzenesulfonylation of Methyl  $\alpha$ -D-Glucopyranoside: Synthesis Characterization Antibacterial Screening. International Letters of Chemistry, Physics Astronomy Vol. 64, pp 95-105

Simerjeet Kaur, Surjit Singh and R.P. Phutela, (2014). Effect of herbicides on soil microorganisms in direct-seeded rice. Indian Journal of Weed Science 46(3): 229–233

Sourav Bhowmick, Ritwika Das & Amal Chandra Das (2014). Effect of thiobencarb and pretilachlor on microorganisms in relation to mineralization of C and N in the Gangetic alluvial soil of West Bengal, Environ Monit Assess 186:6849–6856

UNDER PEER REVIEW