

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_102198
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) in ART-Naïve Patients in Southern Nigeria: Need for Creatinine Assay
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes. However, author(s) should state this clearly. See my comments on 5.</p> <p>I suggest: "Prevalence of Chronic Kidney Disease in ART-Naïve Patients in Southern Nigeria: Need for Creatinine Assay"</p> <p>The abstract should be written according to the Journal-specific guidelines.</p> <p>No! Author should adhere to Journal-specific guidelines for manuscript setting.</p> <p>The problem addressed and justification for conducting this study not clearly presented in this manuscript. Hence, difficult to derive the scientific importance of this work!! Strongly Recommended: have a second eye from an expert in this field.</p> <p>1. Listing should be in line with Journal-specific guidelines. 2. Too old references in this field!!! Check! Add current ones.</p> <p>1. Manuscript setting should follow journal-specific guidelines. 2. Stated, "blacks, anywhere in the world, have a higher risk of CKD when they are HIV-infected". Any explanation for this claim??? 3. Stated, population surveys "put the state HIV prevalence at 4.8% and 5.5%, respectively. Any explanation for this difference?? 4. For clarity to the reader, present the CKD-EPI equation used as stated in 2.2. 5. What is the accepted/standard proportion of ART-naïve patients with CKD and its use in HIV & AIDS management in Nigeria!</p> <p>Author(s) should address comments made and re-submit for re-review.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Switbert Rwechungura Kamazima
Department, University & Country	Muhimbili University of Health and Allied Sciences (MUHAS), Tanzania