

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_102198</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Prevalence of Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) in ART-Naïve Patients in Southern Nigeria: Need for Creatinine Assay</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes, the manuscript tackles the current and important from the clinical medicine point of view problem of chronic kidney disease development prediction and prevention in people living with HIV prior the start of the anti-retrovirus therapy in one of African countries, based on a simple and inexpensive pre-entry kidney test that cannot be afforded by a significant portion of the target population.</b></p> <p><b>Yes, the title is fine, although I would suggest to add "The opportunity to limit..." to point at why the Authors have tackled the problem in the presented study.</b></p> <p><b>Yes, the abstract is fine, although I would suggest adding "...in order to limit the chronic kidney disease (CKD) prevalence in people living with HIV under anti-retrovirus therapy regimen and decrease the resulting burden of CKD-related costs for the Nigerian healthcare system" to the end of the abstract conclusions last sentence in order to make it answering the problem signaled in the title in the whole.</b></p> <p><b>Yes, the article has a logical structure and is written in understandable English, it presents a pleasurable read, supported by properly captioned and well-selected tables. I would suggest adding to the end of the last sentence of Conclusions "...in people living with HIV under anti-retrovirus therapy regimen and decrease the resulting burden of CKD-related costs for the Nigerian healthcare system."</b></p> <p><b>Yes, no disqualifying errors were detected.</b></p> <p><b>The literature references are numerous enough and reasonably recent.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p><b>The English quality is fine, except for minor mistakes of style and punctuation.</b></p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>The article consists of total 8 pages, including 2 tables and the list of total 25 literature references. The article is written with clear purpose, pleasurable to read. As I am not specialized in HIV treatment, a second review performed by such a specialist is advisable so the merit value of information presented in the article can be judged properly before it is accepted to be published.</b></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Robert Suslo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Wroclaw Medical University, Poland</b>