

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_102420
Title of the Manuscript:	GC-MS Based Metabolomic Profiling of Streptomyces clavuligerus Isolated from Ocimum gratissimum Rhizosphere
Type of the Article	RESEARCH ARTICLE

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	YES  YES  YES  YES  YES  YES (2023 REFERENCE IS ALSO PROVIDED. BUT ITS GOOD IF ADD SOME MORE REFERENCES)  ITS GOOD IF YOU PROVIDE SCANNING ELCTRON MICROSCOPIC PICS FOR THE ISOLATE.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	OK	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	IT'S A GOOD WORK STILL MORE WORK HAS TO BE DONE FOR EICOSENE COMPOUND ISOLATED FROM STREPTOMYCES.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>M. Pavani</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Avanthi Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, India</b>