

Investigation of Polyphenol Content and Antioxidative Activity of *Cucurbita pepo* L. Leaf Extracts Obtained by Ultrasonic Extraction

18
19
20
21

ABSTRACT

In this research, the antioxidant activity and its correlation with the polyphenolic content in pumpkin leaf extracts (*Cucurbita pepo* L.) were examined. Dried and pulverized pumpkin leaves were used as extraction material. Various solvents (water, methanol, ethanol, acetone) and their mixtures, in a ratio of 50:50 (v/v) (water: methanol, water: ethanol, water: acetone) were used for extraction. The solid-to-solvent ratio was 1:10. The influence of solvents on phenolic extraction, as well as the effect of ultrasonic extraction was investigated. The samples were subjected to ultrasound for 15 minutes. The total phenolic content was determined by the Folin-Ciocalteu method and the antioxidant activity of the extracts was by FRAP and DPPH methods. The obtained results indicate the importance of choosing an adequate extraction solvent for phenolic isolation from plant material. Mixtures of organic solvents and water, especially a mixture of water and acetone, are the most suitable for the extraction of phenolic compounds. At the same time, a positive correlation was established between the content of total phenols and the antioxidant activity of the extracts. This suggests that phenols contribute significantly to the antioxidant properties of pumpkin leaves. The results showed the potential medicinal properties of pumpkin leaves but further studies are needed to identify, characterize and isolate different bioactive components, which could be used as a basis for obtaining new drugs for the treatment of various diseases.

22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

Keywords: pumpkin, extraction, UAE, phenolic compounds, antioxidant activity

1. INTRODUCTION

Cucurbita pepo L., known as pumpkin or gourd, is a plant species from the Cucurbitaceae family, which includes over 90 genera and about 975 species, both wild and cultivated around the world. Pumpkin and its products are traditionally used in many countries, due to their anti-inflammatory, antiviral, analgesic, hypoglycemic, antioxidant and other effects [1].

32 The official drug is pumpkin seeds (*Cucurbitae peponis* seed). Pumpkin seeds are important
33 in the treatment of prostate disease and urinary tract disorders, which can be associated
34 with antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties. The seeds are rich in zinc and have a
35 diuretic effect. In addition, they have been used in the treatment of gastritis, nephritis,
36 bronchitis, hemorrhoids, headaches, and anemia in various parts of the world. Some studies
37 report antihypertensive and cardioprotective effects of seed oil, as well as its inhibitory effect
38 on arthritis in rats, similar to indomethacin [1, 2]. It is important to mention that other plant
39 parts of the pumpkin, such as the fruit, flower and young leaves, and their extracts are also
40 used in nutrition and for therapeutic purposes [3]. The fruit of the plant is used in traditional
41 medicine to treat colds and fatigue, as well as to relieve pain. In addition, beneficial effects
42 have been recorded in the treatment of eye infections, throat infections, coughs, rheumatoid
43 arthritis, hemorrhoids, burns, etc. Analgesic and anti-inflammatory effects were shown by
44 preparations obtained from the pedicle, the part of the pumpkin stem, which is attached to
45 the fruit [1]. It has been suggested that extracts of peel, pulp or flesh of the fruit and pumpkin
46 seed oil can inhibit breast (MCF7) and liver (HEPG2) cancer cells [4]. The leaves exhibit
47 analgesic and antimicrobial effects. They are used for external burns, fever, against nausea
48 and to increase the hemoglobin content in the blood [1, 4, 5]. They can be useful in the
49 treatment of urinary and respiratory system infections, dermatitis, soft tissue infections, etc.
50 The results of some studies suggest that the aqueous extracts of the leaves show good
51 antimicrobial activity against *P. aeruginosa*, but additional studies are needed to determine
52 precisely which substances and at what concentration have antimicrobial activity.

53 Pumpkin leaves contain 9% protein, 18% fat and 20% vitamins, which are responsible for
54 the high nutritional, medicinal and industrial value of pumpkin. Pumpkin leaf extracts have
55 shown antimicrobial properties, and are also used to increase the hemoglobin content in the
56 blood, relieve nausea and lower the body temperature [6]. Studies conducted in South Africa
57 have shown positive effects of orally administered pumpkin leaves in the treatment of
58 arthritis, and these effects are associated with the anti-inflammatory properties of the leaves.
59 Pumpkin leaves and fruits have also shown neuroprotective effects [7]. Characterization of
60 the plant compounds is necessary to evaluate the biological activity of the extracts.
61 Experimental studies have shown that ethanol extracts of pumpkin leaves and stems contain
62 sugars, saponins, alkaloids, flavonoids, sterols, glycosides, terpenoids and phlobatannins.
63 Flavonoids, phlobatannins and proteins are present in higher concentrations [8].

64 **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

65
66 Dried leaves of pumpkin (*C. pepo* L. subsp. *pepo*) were used as plant material for extraction.
67 The leaves were collected at the end of August 2020, in the locality of Vlasenica, Bosnia and
68 Herzegovina. The leaves were adequately washed and cleaned, and left to dry for ten days.
69 The drying process was carried out at room temperature, in a dry place, protected from
70 direct sunlight. The dried leaves were then ground to a powdery consistency in an electric
71 mill and stored at room temperature.

72 All chemicals used were of analytical grade and were used as received without any further
73 purification. Chemicals were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) and Sigma
74 Chemical Co. (St. Louis, Missouri, USA).

75 76 **2.1. Preparation of extracts**

77 For testing polyphenol content and antioxidant capacity, extracts were prepared by mixing 2
78 grams of plant material with 20 mL of solvent. The samples were subjected to extraction in
79 an ultrasonic bath for 15 minutes. After the extraction process was completed, the samples
80 were filtered through blue tape filter paper. The filtrates were stored in a cold and dark place
81 until the beginning of the analysis.

82 **2.2. Determination of total phenolic content (TPC)**

83 Total phenolic compounds were quantified spectrophotometrically using the Folin-Ciocalteu
84 test according to the protocol by Singleton et al [9], with some modifications. 100 µL of the
85 extract was mixed with 1270 µL of 10% Folin-Ciocalteu reagent. After 5 minutes, 210 µL of
86 10% sodium carbonate was added. After incubation for an hour, 455 µL of distilled water
87 was added to the incubated solution. Absorbance was measured on a spectrophotometer at
88 a wavelength of 765 nm. Quantitative analysis was performed based on the gallic acid
89 standard calibration curve.

90 **2.3. DPPH radical inhibition test**

91 The 2,2-diphenyl-1-picryl-hydrazyl (DPPH) method was carried out according to the
92 previously described method [10] with certain modifications. 0.5 mL of the extract was added
93 to the test tube and made up to 2 mL with methanol. Then 0.5 mL of 0.5 mM DPPH solution
94 was added and the samples were left to incubate for 30 minutes in a dark room at room
95 temperature. Absorbance was measured at 517 nm with methanol as a blank. The radical
96 scavenging effect (%) or DPPH radical inhibition percentage was calculated according to the
97 equation:

$$98 \quad [(A_c - A_s) / A_c] \times 100 [\%]$$

99

100 where A_s is the absorbance of the solution containing the sample at 517 nm and A_c is the
101 absorbance of the control.

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

111 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

119 **3.1. Polyphenol content**

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

Table 1 shows the results of polyphenol content in pumpkin leaf extracts. The results indicate the highest content of phenolic compounds in U_7 , followed by samples U_5 and U_6 . It is significant that all three extracts were obtained using a mixture of organic solvents and water, and this confirms the results of numerous studies, in which aqueous mixtures of organic solvents were shown as the most suitable for the extraction of phenolic compounds [11, 12, 13]. The high content of phenol was also confirmed in U_1 , and it is comparable to the recorded content in U_6 . These results are consistent with the fact that phenolic compounds, which are most often responsible for the antioxidant activity of the samples, are hydrophilic antioxidants. A better solvation of antioxidant molecules is achieved, as a result of interactions (hydrogen bonds) between the polar parts of these molecules and the solvent [11]. The low content of phenolic compounds was confirmed in U_2 , U_3 and U_4 , i.e. samples with pure organic solvents, of which acetone gave the weakest results. Ethanol proved to be less effective in extracting bioactive compounds than methanol, although their polarity is similar. The reason for this may be the low solvation of the molecule by ethanol, which is

134 probably due to the presence of the non-polar ethyl part, which is longer than the methyl
 135 part. This deficiency can be overcome by adding water to ethanol. Studies show that 50%
 136 ethanol is one of the most commonly used solvents for extracting polyphenols from plants.
 137 However, for the isolation of polyphenols from these extracts, purification is required, since
 138 the water-ethanol mixture also extracts other compounds (e.g. carbohydrates, organic
 139 acids), which contribute to the high content of TPC. The sample with acetone showed the
 140 lowest content of antioxidant compounds, probably due to their weaker solvation, since
 141 acetone molecules are only proton acceptors, while the other solvents, methanol, ethanol
 142 and water are also proton donors. Phenols are usually extracted using water-alcohol
 143 mixtures, which are polar protic solvents, but it appears that an aprotic solvent such as
 144 acetone combined with water can extract a larger amount of polyphenols. This is consistent
 145 with the TPC results obtained for sample U₇. This effect can be explained by better solvation
 146 of polar molecules, after the addition of water, which increases the polarity [13]. It is
 147 suggested that a water:acetone mixture is effective for the extraction of polar molecules,
 148 specifically higher molecular weight flavanols. Earlier studies suggested that acetone with
 149 50% water could extract the highest TPC from plant species, such as *Camellia sinensis* [12].
 150 In addition, the advantage of the mixture of acetone and water is that it is considered safe for
 151 use in food products.

152
 153

Table 1. Polyphenol content in pumpkin leaf extracts

| Sample | TPC [mg GAE/g] |
|----------------|----------------|
| U ₁ | 10,85 |
| U ₂ | 6,355 |
| U ₃ | 4,585 |
| U ₄ | 2,570 |
| U ₅ | 12,50 |
| U ₆ | 11,31 |
| U ₇ | 13,03 |

154

155 Compared to other studies, in which the content of total phenols in aqueous and ethanolic
 156 extracts is about 40 mg GAE/g [3], the total phenolic content of the extracts determined by
 157 our investigation is significantly lower. This may be due to differences in the extraction and
 158 defined experimental conditions. Unconventional extraction methods, such as ultrasonic
 159 extraction with "green" solvents, can significantly contribute to a higher content of extracted
 160 antioxidants from pumpkin. Ultrasound accelerates the disintegration of plant cells, breaking
 161 the chemical bonds between macro- and micromolecules, thus facilitating the release of
 162 phenol from the cell. Ultrasonic waves have a better penetration through the cell than
 163 microwaves, which can also lead to the degradation of phenolic compounds by raising the
 164 temperature. In the case of pumpkin, studies suggest that its fruit is the richest source of
 165 phenols. Some studies have shown the total phenolic content of the fruit to be around 90 mg
 166 GAE/100 g of fresh fruit, i.e. 0.9 mg GAE/g [14]. The results of determining the content of
 167 phenols vary, since in another study a content of about 5 mg GAE/g was proven in fresh
 168 pumpkin fruit, as well as in the peel [15, 16]. It is interesting that the mentioned content is
 169 significantly lower than the content of total phenols in leaf extracts, determined by our
 170 investigation. This is consistent with the results of the study conducted by Kim et al., where it
 171 was shown that the ethanolic extract of *C. moschata* leaf has the highest content of total
 172 phenols, the best DPPH radical inhibition capacity and the highest reducing activity,
 173 compared to other pumpkin parts [17].

174

175

3.2. Antioxidant activity

176 The FRAP and DPPH methods were used to determine the antioxidant capacity. The
 177 obtained FRAP values of the prepared extracts, shown in Table 2, are consistent with the
 178 results that reflect the total phenolic content in the samples. The highest efficiency in
 179 reducing activity is observed in sample U₇, which also shows the highest content of phenolic
 180 compounds. This can confirm the results of previous studies, which indicate a positive
 181 correlation between the phenolic content and the antioxidant activity of plant extracts. The
 182 difference in the reducing activity of the water-methanol and water-ethanol samples is very
 183 small, and these samples also show high FRAP values. The sample with acetone has the
 184 lowest total phenolic content and thus the weakest reducing activity. The sample with water
 185 has twice the reducing activity, which is associated with a higher polarity of water and,
 186 consequently, a higher content of polar phenols. In addition to a good reducing activity, the
 187 advantage of the aqueous extract is that water is the safest for use.

188

Table 2. Results of the reduction potential of pumpkin leaf extracts

| Sample | FRAP value [$\mu\text{mol/g}$] |
|----------------|----------------------------------|
| U ₁ | 119,75 |
| U ₂ | 68,80 |
| U ₃ | 58,70 |
| U ₄ | 23,45 |
| U ₅ | 142,0 |
| U ₆ | 141,95 |
| U ₇ | 183,70 |

190

191 Better extraction of phenolic compounds means better reducing properties of the extract.
 192 The reducing capacity of a compound can be a significant indicator of its potential
 193 antioxidant activity. The antioxidant activity of phenolic compounds is mainly the result of
 194 their redox properties, which can play an important role in the adsorption and neutralization
 195 of free radicals, the quenching of singlet and triplet oxygen or the decomposition of
 196 peroxides. Fe(III) reduction is often used as an indicator of electron donating activity, which
 197 is an important mechanism of phenolic antioxidant activity. The antioxidant capacities of the
 198 extracts have a strong relationship with the solvent used, mainly due to the different
 199 antioxidant potential of compounds with different polarities [11]. Many existing studies clearly
 200 state that the use of aqueous mixtures of organic solvents such as ethanol, methanol,
 201 acetone, isopropanol increases the antioxidant efficiency of most herbal products. In earlier
 202 research, *C. pepo* fruit extracts obtained using a mixture of water and organic solvents
 203 (methanol, ethanol, acetone), in different proportions, showed very high reducing activity.
 204 FRAP values ranging from 4295.8 $\mu\text{mol Fe(II)/g}$ to 5164.2 $\mu\text{mol Fe(II)/g}$ of dry sample of
 205 different varieties of *C. pepo* were recorded. Based on our results, it can be concluded that
 206 the leaf extracts have a weaker reducing activity.

207 The results of the antioxidant activity obtained by the DPPH method are consistent with the
 208 results of the FRAP method, and also with the certain content of total phenols in the extracts.
 209 Thus, the highest percentage of DPPH radical inhibition is shown by sample U₇, which
 210 corresponds to a high content of phenolic compounds. The aqueous extract shows a higher
 211 percentage of inhibition than the ethanolic and methanolic extracts. However, mixtures of
 212 ethanol and methanol with water gave significantly better results and greater DPPH radical
 213 inhibition, thus a better antioxidant capacity. The weakest antioxidant capacity is shown by
 214 the leaf extract obtained with acetone, which has a significantly weaker inhibition compared
 215 to the other extracts. The results of DPPH radical inhibition are shown in Table 3.

216

217

Table 3. Inhibition of DPPH radicals

| Sample | Inhibition [%] |
|--------|----------------|
|--------|----------------|

| | |
|----------------|-------|
| U ₁ | 42,36 |
| U ₂ | 30,43 |
| U ₃ | 27,51 |
| U ₄ | 19,51 |
| U ₅ | 51,97 |
| U ₆ | 48,22 |
| U ₇ | 57,98 |

218

219 Different extraction solvents affect the phenolic content and antioxidant properties of
220 extracts. Dar et al. proved in their research that the highest percentage of radical inhibition,
221 at a concentration of 500 mg/mL, was shown by pumpkin leaf extracts with ethyl acetate
222 (79.44%) and *n*-butanol (68.9%). These extracts also have the highest content of total
223 phenols. Phenolic compounds can be non-polar or polar in nature, so their solubility in
224 solvents also depends on this. Non-polar, ethyl acetate and *n*-butanol proved suitable for the
225 extraction of non-polar or less polar phenols and flavonoids [3]. In our research, all pumpkin
226 leaf extracts, at a concentration of 25 g/L, showed significantly higher antioxidant potential
227 than the extracts of the previously mentioned research. Namely, the highest measured
228 percentage of DPPH radical inhibition is 57.98% for U₇. In another study, a similar result was
229 obtained by the aqueous extract, but only at a concentration of 500 mg/mL, which is
230 significantly higher than the concentration of our extracts. The antioxidant potential of the
231 aqueous extract, determined by our test, is similar to the potential of the aqueous leaf
232 extract, whose concentration is 10 times higher than in our case. In our research, solvents of
233 higher polarity were used, and these extracts, at significantly lower concentrations, gave
234 better results of antioxidant activity. From this it can be concluded that polar solvents are the
235 most suitable for the extraction of pumpkin leaves. In addition to phenolic compounds,
236 antioxidant activity can be attributed to other compounds identified in the leaf, such as
237 ascorbic acid, carbohydrates, vitamins, fatty acids, phospholipids and others. It has been
238 proven that water and methanol extracts of pumpkin seeds contain a high proportion of
239 carbohydrates, and a better effect of inhibiting free radicals. However, no clear correlation
240 can be established between carbohydrate content and antioxidant activity, since the
241 concentrations of carbohydrates and phenols are proportional to each other. This is
242 connected with the fact that a higher phenolic content corresponds to a higher proportion of
243 phenolic glycosides [18]. Compared to vitamin C, which is one of the most well-known
244 antioxidants, with a DPPH radical inhibition percentage of 99.8%, the calculated antioxidant
245 activity of pumpkin leaf samples is weaker. The highest recorded inhibition percentage of
246 57.98% is almost twice lower than that of vitamin C. However, the obtained values are not
247 negligible, as they prove the presence of antioxidant molecules with the potential to remove
248 free radicals, and that can be the basis for further studies.

249

250 4. CONCLUSION

251

252 For the extraction of phenolic compounds from pumpkin leaves, mixtures of organic solvents
253 and water proved to be more suitable than pure solvents, which is explained by the greater
254 polarity of the solvent mixtures, and thus the greater solubility of polar phenolic compounds.
255 By adjusting the optimal solvent volume ratio of the mixture, the extraction yield can be
256 influenced. The FRAP and DPPH method proved that extracts with the highest content of
257 total phenols showed the best reducing activity and inhibition of DPPH radicals, i.e.
258 antioxidant activity, which leads to the conclusion that there is a positive correlation between
259 the antioxidant activity of pumpkin leaf extracts and the content of total phenolic compounds.
260 Optimizing the mentioned parameters can improve the extraction yield, that is, the quality
261 and quantity of antioxidant molecules in plant extracts. Further research can be directed to

262 the identification of the nature of bioactive molecules, with the aim of more detailed
263 evaluation of the biological activity of the extracts.
264

273

274

275 REFERENCES

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

1. Ratnam N, Najjibullah M, Ibrahim MD. A review on *Cucurbita pepo*. Int J Pharm Phytochem Res. 2017; 9: 1190-1194. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.25258/PHYTO.V9I09.10305>
2. Fahim AT, Abd-El Fattah AA, Agha AM, Gad MZ. Effect of pumpkin-seed oil on the level of free radical scavengers induced during adjuvant-arthritis in rats. Pharmacological research. 1995; 31(1): 73-79. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/1043-6618\(95\)80051-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/1043-6618(95)80051-4)
3. Dar P, Farman M, Dar A, Khan Z, Munir R, Rasheed A et al. Evaluation of antioxidant potential and comparative analysis of antimicrobial activity of various extracts of *Cucurbita pepo* L. leaves. Journal of Agricultural Science and Food Technology. 2017; 3(6): 103-109.
4. Adnan M, Gul S, Batool S, Fatima B, Rehman A, Yaqoob S et al. A review on the ethnobotany, phytochemistry, pharmacology and nutritional composition of *Cucurbita pepo* L. The Journal of Phytopharmacology. 2017; 6(2): 133-139.
5. Mondal S, Hossain I, Islam N. Phytochemical screening of ethanolic extract of leaves and stems of *Cucurbita pepo* Linn. International Journal of Chemistry Studies. 2017; 1(2): 32-34.
6. Sharma P, Kaur G, Kehinde BA, Chhikara N, Panghal A, Kaur H. Pharmacological and biomedical uses of extracts of pumpkin and its relatives and applications in the food industry: a review. International Journal of Vegetable Science. 2020; 26(1): 79-95. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/19315260.2019.1606130>
7. Kaur S, Panghal A, Garg MK, Mann S, Khatkar SK, Sharma P et al. Functional and nutraceutical properties of pumpkin—a review. Nutrition & Food Science. 2019; 50(2): 384-401.
8. Sunday EA, Nnedimma NC, Peter WG, Orlando GB. Phytochemical Screening, GC-MS Analysis and Antioxidant Activity of *Cucurbita pepo* L. using Its Leaf Sample. Asian Journal of Biology. 2021; 11(4): 33-45. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajob/2021/v11i430151>
9. Singleton VL, Orthofer R, Lamuela-Raventós RM. Analysis of total phenols and other oxidation substrates and antioxidants by means of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent. Methods in enzymology. 1999; 299: 152-178. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-6879\(99\)99017-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-6879(99)99017-1)
10. Horozic E, Zukic A, Kolarevic L, Bjelosevic D, Ademovic Z, Saric-Kundalic B, Husejnagic D, Kudumovic A, Hamzic S. Evaluation of antibacterial and antioxidant activity of methanol needle extracts of *Larix Decidua* Mill., *Picea Abies* (L.) H. Karst. and *Pinus Nigra* JF Arnold. TTEM. 2019; 14(1): 14-19.
11. Boeing JS, Barizão ÉO, e Silva BC, Montanher PF, de Cinque Almeida V, Visentainer JV. Evaluation of solvent effect on the extraction of phenolic compounds

- 315 and antioxidant capacities from the berries: application of principal component
316 analysis. *Chemistry central journal*. 2014; 8(1): 1-9.
- 317 12. Venkatesan T, Choi YW, Kim YK. Impact of different extraction solvents on phenolic
318 content and antioxidant potential of *Pinus densiflora* bark extract. *BioMed research*
319 *international*. 2019; 2019: 1-14. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/3520675>
- 320 13. Alothman M, Bhat R, Karim AA. Antioxidant capacity and phenolic content of
321 selected tropical fruits from Malaysia, extracted with different solvents. *Food*
322 *chemistry*. 2009; 115(3): 785-788. DOI:
323 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2008.12.005>
- 324 14. Zdunić G, Menković N, Jadranin M, Novaković M, Savikin KP, Živković JČ. Phenolic
325 compounds and carotenoids in pumpkin fruit and related traditional products.
326 *Hemijska industrija*. 2016; 70(4): 429-433. DOI: 10.2298/HEMIND150219049Z
- 327 15. Deng GF, Lin X, Xu XR, Gao LL, Xie JF, Li HB. Antioxidant capacities and total
328 phenolic contents of 56 vegetables. *Journal of functional foods*. 2013; 5(1): 260-266.
329 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2012.10.015>
- 330 16. Mala KS, Kurian AE. Nutritional composition and antioxidant activity of pumpkin
331 wastes. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical, Chemical & Biological Sciences*.
332 2016; 6(3): 336-244.
- 333 17. Kim MJ, Hong CO, Nam MH, Lee KW. Antioxidant effects and physiological
334 activities of pumpkin (*Cucurbita moschata* Duch.) extract from different aerial parts.
335 *Korean Journal of Food Science and Technology*. 2011; 43(2): 195-199. DOI:
336 <https://doi.org/10.9721/KJFST.2011.43.2.195>
- 337 18. Xanthopoulou MN, Nomikos T, Fragopoulou E, Antonopoulou S. Antioxidant and
338 lipoyxygenase inhibitory activities of pumpkin seed extracts. *Food Research*
339 *International*. 2009; 42(5-6): 641-646. DOI:
340 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2009.02.003>