

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_103355
Title of the Manuscript:	Depth Wise Studies of Physico-Chemical Properties of Soil Under Different Land Use System at Eastern U.P.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Based on the information provided, the manuscript appears to be important for the scientific community as it provides insights into the impact of different land use systems and soil depth on soil physico-chemical properties and biological properties. The conclusions drawn from the study offer practical implications for maintaining soil fertility and health in different land use scenarios. 2. Yes 3. Yes 4. Yes 5. Yes, it is scientifically correct but needs to rewrite some parts. 6. I believe that the inclusion of references in the paper would enhance its scientific rigor and credibility. By citing relevant sources, the author can demonstrate the existing body of knowledge in the field and support their claims and findings with evidence from previous research. Incorporating references also allows readers to explore the topic further and evaluate the paper's contributions within the broader scientific context. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Introduction part needs to be paraphrased.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maryam Saffariha
Department, University & Country	University of California, USA