

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_102869</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Studies on Seed Borne Mycoflora of Soybean Seeds by Incubation Methods</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes. It publishes on issues of food security that can be undermined by infectious agents.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, with a minor change as indicated above.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>The references in the Introduction, methodology and discussion are not sufficient and mostly old.</p> <p>Referring to point 6, add more recent articles and correct the typos.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The research work is publishable pending effecting of corrections with supervisors.</p> <p><b>Abstract:</b> Line 3 should be of soybean instead of ofsoybean.</p> <p><b>Introduction:</b> Line 8 should space after the full stop. Line 13 please redo the wording.</p> <p><b>Materials and Methods:</b> Fine</p> <p><b>Results and Discussion:</b> Fine</p> <p><b>Refernces:</b> Be consistent. For example, some Journal titles are in full and others abbreviated.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	ME Makgatho
Department, University & Country	University of Limpopo, South Africa