

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_103824
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance of rice varieties under different systems of cultivation for Southern Telangana Zone
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Rice is the main grain crop in the world. Rice is the main product of people, so the study of the technology of growing this crop is very important.</p> <p>The title of the article needs to be changed. You need to write "Productivity ...". In the title of the article, it is necessary to replace the word "cultivation systems" with "cultivation techniques".</p> <p>The abstract does not include the results of the studies. The authors indicate the general parameters.</p> <p>When describing the technique, the author refers to Gomez and Gomez, 1984, but this author is not on the list of references. Also, other indicators do not have references to the authors.</p> <p>Table 3 is missing.</p> <p>It is necessary to indicate in the tables where the increase is greater than the experimental error (CD (P=0.05)).</p> <p>The conclusions are summarized. It is necessary to provide specific indicators.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sergiy Lavrenko
Department, University & Country	Kherson State Agrarian and Economic University, Ukraine