

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_103828
Title of the Manuscript:	Vulvovaginal candidiasis in pregnant women attending the Garoua Regional Hospital (Cameroon) and antifungals susceptibility profile of isolates
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjgo.com/index.php/ARJGO/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript enough important for scientific community. This article explains about the vulvovaginal candidiasis (VVC) in pregnant women attending the Garoua Regional Hospital (Cameroon) and antifungals susceptibility profile of isolates. <i>C. albicans</i> and <i>C. krusei</i> were involved in VVC in the studied population. The antifungal susceptibility profile confirmed the efficacy of econazole and miconazole for the treatment.</p> <p>Yes, appropriate enough</p> <p>Yes, appropriate enough, re-checking is required to be better</p> <p>Yes, appropriate enough, but re-checking is required to be better</p> <p>Yes, appropriate enough, but need improvement to be detail</p> <p>Add up to date references to be better</p> <p>Introduction and discussion need more deeply explanation to be better. The method must be detailed again</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>re-checking is to be better</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Spaces are rechecked and 1 paragraph minimum 3 sentences</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rahayu Fitriani Wangsa Putrie
Department, University & Country	Research Center for Applied Microbiology, Indonesia

Created by: DR

Checked by: PM

Approved by: MBM

Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)