

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_103828
Title of the Manuscript:	Vulvovaginal candidiasis in pregnant women attending the Garoua Regional Hospital (Cameroon) and antifungals susceptibility profile of isolates
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalarjgo.com/index.php/ARJGO/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES: BUT ADDITIONS ARE REQUIRED – SOURCE OF FUNDING; ROLE OF EACH AUTHOR, SOURCE OF FUNDING</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES: BUT THE REFERENCES MUST BE WRITTEN FULLY PLEASE</p> <p>THE STANDARD OF THE ENGLISH MUST BE IMPROVED TO PUBLISHABLE STANDARDS WITH USE OF PAST TENSE. TRIMESTER IS THE CORRECT WORD TO USE IN PREGNANCY AND NOT SEMESTER. THE AUTHORS SHOULD READ MY SUPPORTIVE CORRECTIONS AND REFLECT UPON THEM AND IF THEY ARE HAPPY, THEY CAN INCORPORATE MY CORRECTIVE REVISION OF THE ARTICLE AND THEREFERENCES MUST BE FULLY WRITTEN PLEASE</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>YES: BUT IMPROVEMENT IS REQUIRED AND I HAVE PROVIDED SUPPORTIVE EDUCATIVE SUPERVISORY CORRECTIONS FOR THE AUTHORS TO READ AND REFLECT UPON PLEASE</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anthony Kodzo-Grey Venyo
Department, University & Country	North Manchester General Hospital, United Kingdom