

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_102291
Title of the Manuscript:	ACUTE APPENDICITIS IN PREGNANCY-CURRENT MANAGEMENT-REVIEW ARTICLE
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, it is</p> <p>Yes. The title is suitable</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, but it needs completion and numbering</p> <p>Yes it is</p> <p>Although lots of new references are present there are many old references. Reference No 24 needs correction.</p> <p>This is a systematic review article and should be carried out based on the principles of this kind of review. The authors did not indicate the way of data collection and management. They have only talked about search keywords. It is recommended to write a comprehensive search strategy, data collection, choosing relevant articles, inclusion and exclusion criteria, deletions, and so on. It is better to classify all entered articles in a table with details of study characters. Three tables in the text should be mixed and present in a single frame.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, but a few grammatical points are present and I edited them and track-changed them in the text attached.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Azar Shokri</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>North Khorasan University of Medical Sciences, Iran</b>